



# 1 **Comparing the evolution of ESA versus NASA technology transfer** 2 **approach: market and public demand drivers**

3 Giorgio Petroni<sup>1</sup>, Benedetta Pini<sup>2</sup>, Serena Filippelli<sup>2</sup>, Alberto Petroni<sup>2</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> INAF – Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Milan, I20100, Italy

5 <sup>2</sup> Department of Engineering and Architecture, University of Parma, Parma, I43100, Italy

6 *Correspondence to:* Alberto Petroni (alberto.petroni@unipr.it)

7  
8

9 **Abstract.** The growth of space activities has experienced rapid expansion in the last twenty years, largely driven by the  
10 transfer of technology. This process has had not only economic and social effects but also important political and military  
11 implications.

12 The primary entities responsible for sharing scientific and technological knowledge have been the prominent space  
13 agencies. This article seeks to compare the approaches developed by NASA and ESA throughout the years. The  
14 comparison reveals significant differences between the two agencies in terms of their goals and the methods they employ  
15 to achieve them. These disparities can even be traced back to the legislation that established each respective agency.

16

17 **Keywords:** Space technology transfer, technology transfer process, market-driven technology  
18 transfer, ESA infrastructure Galileo and Copernicus programs, technology network diffusion.

19

## 20 **1 Introduction**

21 In the context of the space sector, the history of technology transfer has its roots in the early days of space exploration.  
22 Initially driven by government-funded programs and national space agencies, technology transfer in space began with the  
23 exchange of knowledge and expertise between countries engaged in space missions.

24 It is noteworthy that even after NASA's establishment in February 1958 as a civilian organization, knowledge and  
25 experience exchange with the military persisted, primarily focusing on launch systems. A notable example is the  
26 development of the Saturn V launcher, utilized in the Apollo 11 mission and lunar landing in July 1969, which was guided  
27 by Werner Von Braun, a consultant to the US Armed Forces following World War II (Spangenburg et al., 2003).

28 During the Space Race between the United States and the Soviet Union in the mid-20th century, significant advancements  
29 were made in rocketry, satellite technology, and space exploration. The technologies developed for space missions, such  
30 as propulsion systems, communication satellites, and remote sensing capabilities, had applications beyond the space  
31 sector (Johnson, 2012; Logsdon, 2011).

32 Following the "Space Race," space cooperation commenced through bilateral agreements signed by the USA and USSR,  
33 involving their respective allies or partners. These agreements facilitated collaborations between NASA and ESA, NASA  
34 and NASDA (the former Japanese space agency), as well as NASA and the Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO).  
35 Subsequently, additional significant bilateral agreements on space cooperation emerged, involving the Russian Space  
36 Agency "Roscosmos," the Chinese National Space Administration (CNSA), and the South Korean Aerospace Research  
37 Institute (KARI) in the mid-1970s. This trend continued with the participation of large African countries like Egypt and



38 South Africa, as well as Latin American countries such as Argentina, Brazil, and Mexico. Consequently, a substantial  
39 knowledge transfer process in the space field ensued.

40 As space exploration expanded, governments and space agencies recognized the potential for commercializing space  
41 technologies and transferring them to other industries. The space sector became a source of innovation and a catalyst for  
42 technological advancements in fields such as telecommunications, Earth observation, materials science, and navigation  
43 systems (Schmitt, 2004).

44 Government agencies like NASA in the United States and ESA in Europe played a pivotal role in technology transfer by  
45 promoting collaboration between the space industry, research institutions, and private companies. They established  
46 partnerships, licensing agreements, and cooperative programs to facilitate the transfer of space technologies for  
47 commercial use and societal benefit.

48 Additionally, advancements in satellite-based Earth observation systems have led to the development of applications in  
49 agriculture, urban planning, environmental monitoring, and disaster management. Data and imagery acquired from  
50 satellites have been made accessible to various stakeholders, including governments, researchers, and businesses,  
51 enabling them to make informed decisions and address pressing challenges.

52 In recent years, with the emergence of private space companies and the commercialization of space activities, technology  
53 transfer in the space sector has taken on a new dimension. Companies like SpaceX, Blue Origin, and numerous startups  
54 are pushing the boundaries of space technology and exploring innovative applications beyond traditional space missions.  
55 The transfer of reusable rocket technologies, satellite miniaturization, and launch services to the private sector has fueled  
56 the growth of the space industry and opened up new opportunities for collaboration and innovation.

57 Moreover, the flow of scientific and technical knowledge driving space activities has not solely originated from civilian  
58 research laboratories (such as universities and academies) or military institutions, as witnessed during the early days of  
59 the "Space Age." Many industrial enterprises and their suppliers, responsible for manufacturing and commercializing  
60 space tools, have contributed significantly to the development of technical know-how.

61 Furthermore, the development of downstream space activities and satellite services has facilitated the widespread  
62 dissemination of space-related technical knowledge to many developing countries. This ongoing process has led to a  
63 proliferation of space agencies in the past 15 years, often promoting the establishment of research and training facilities  
64 across various technological sectors of the industry.

65 Overall, the history of technology transfer in the space sector reflects the evolution of space exploration, the recognition  
66 of space technologies' commercial potential, and the collaborative efforts between governments, space agencies, research  
67 institutions, and private companies to transfer and utilize space-related innovations for economic, scientific, and societal  
68 advancements.

69 These introductory remarks aim to capture the complexity of technology transfer as a catalyst for the development of  
70 space activities. This article aims to elucidate the diverse approaches adopted by ESA and NASA in guiding technology  
71 transfer and the significant ramifications it has had on political, military, and economic aspects (Williamson, 2014).

72

## 73 **2 Actors and Channels of Technology Transfer in space**

74 The generation and dissemination of space technologies have involved numerous actors, each contributing in their unique  
75 ways. Firstly, astrophysicists have built a solid foundation of scientific knowledge pertaining to the structure of outer  
76 space and the fundamental physical phenomena characterizing it, such as radiation, electromagnetic fields, gravity effects,



77 and energy source intensities (Rosenberg et al., 2014). This knowledge has played a vital role in enhancing the safety of  
78 exploratory missions, including those involving human presence. Additionally, it has significantly advanced imaging and  
79 detection technologies employed in Earth observations, exemplified by the utilization of lasers.

80 Furthermore, the military has made substantial contributions to "modern civil space" activities since their inception. A  
81 notable example is the civilian application of the Global Positioning System (GPS) for mass consumer purposes, such as  
82 in smartphones. Regarding launch systems, similar to the military's involvement in NASA's early launches mentioned  
83 earlier, the European Space Agency (ESA) has benefited from the utilization of highly reliable launchers like Soyuz and  
84 Vega, which trace their origins back to the original designs led by the Ukrainian engineer Korolev, a former colonel of  
85 the Soviet Red Army.

86 Currently, there is a robust collaboration between NASA and the research laboratories of the US Navy for the  
87 experimental construction of a "Solar Space Farm" aimed at generating electricity from solar panels deployed in outer  
88 space. This partnership adds to the established cooperation between space agencies and armed forces of many nations in  
89 the construction and operation of "dual-use" satellites.

90 It is crucial to acknowledge the significant contributions made by universities engaged in space research and education,  
91 including those in developing countries. These institutions play a vital role in advancing space-related knowledge and  
92 technologies.

93 Lastly, suppliers operating in various segments of the space system, including infrastructure (such as ground segments),  
94 launch platforms, satellites, and technical components within the payload, serve as an important driving force for the  
95 development and subsequent transfer of space technologies. Their expertise and innovation contribute to the continual  
96 progress of the field.

97

## 98 **2.1 Technological dynamics associated with space activities**

99 The technologies employed within a space system are diverse, resulting in a highly complex and interconnected system.  
100 To provide clarity, let us review the key components of this extensive technological repertoire. These include propulsion  
101 and launch control technologies, the telematics guidance system that directs satellites along their designated trajectories  
102 and controls their orbital paths, electronic apparatuses that regulate and manage equipment within the payload (including  
103 instruments governing power production, temperature, and pressure), robotic technology, instruments utilizing various  
104 forms of radiation (such as X-rays, gamma rays, and infrared) for astrophysical exploration and terrestrial observations,  
105 as well as telecommunications systems.

106 Furthermore, space activities often require the utilization of materials capable of withstanding high temperatures and  
107 pressures. For instance, satellite buses and space probes are constructed using such materials. Many of these technologies  
108 already exist within industrial production systems and undergo upgrading processes to adapt them for space applications.

109 A notable example is the production of solar panels, which employ a "triple junction" scheme to generate the necessary  
110 energy for the functioning of payload equipment. More recently, traditional solar panels have been integrated into a  
111 sandwich structure that serves a dual purpose of generating electricity through photovoltaic processes and transforming  
112 it into microwaves. This innovation is seen in experimental systems designed for the production and transmission of  
113 electric power from space to Earth (Space Solar Power).

114 Lastly, within the context of technological dynamics associated with space activities, it is important to acknowledge the  
115 role of small businesses as vehicles for industrial innovation transfer. These companies, often collaborating as suppliers  
116 to major contractors (typically larger companies) responsible for significant space contracts, have facilitated the transfer



117 of technologies that have undergone further upgrading to non-space companies (Chebukhanova et al., 2022; Verbano et  
118 al., 2012).

119

## 120 **2.2 "Technology Push" and "Demand Pull" Technology Transfer Processes**

121 In the last 15 years, there has been a notable acceleration in the widespread adoption of Earth Observation activities. This  
122 surge has been primarily fuelled by the increasing demand for satellite services from public institutions and the rapid  
123 growth of the consumer market for personal communication devices such as cell phones and iPads. This trend has had a  
124 significant impact on various regions, including the United States, Europe, major Asia-Pacific countries, and, to a lesser  
125 extent, developing nations (Guarnieri et al., 2016).

126 To meet the aforementioned demand, there has been a substantial rise in the production of even smaller satellites, which  
127 have become increasingly commoditized, accompanied by a significant reduction in launch costs. As a result, there has  
128 been a remarkable increase in the number of manufacturers, particularly small businesses, engaged in downstream space  
129 activities, including satellite surveys, navigation, and communications (de Pippo et al., 2019).

130 However, it is crucial to avoid misconceptions regarding the assumed ease of technology transfer in space activities,  
131 despite the significant advancements driven by "technology push" innovation. Operators in this sector, confronted with  
132 the inherent complexity analyzed by B. Bozeman (2000) in each technology transfer program, face considerable  
133 challenges even in Spin-in projects. These challenges arise from the necessity to design and construct technical tools  
134 intended for operation in an environment vastly different from terrestrial conditions, characterized by microgravity,  
135 radiation, high temperature, and pressure variations. Nevertheless, these constraints also lead to increased research efforts,  
136 often resulting in paradoxical innovations that significantly enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of terrestrial  
137 production activities. Noteworthy advancements have been made in sensor technology, the development of heat-resistant  
138 materials, and the utilization of radiation in diagnostic healthcare equipment.

139 Empirical analysis further reveals the presence of demand-driven innovations, referred to as "demand pull innovations"  
140 (Breton et al., 2019; Verbano et al., 2017). Examples include the growing utilization of satellite surveys to identify leaks  
141 in territorial water networks supplying domestic consumption, the estimation of "equivalent water" to assess snow  
142 accumulation in high mountains such as the Alps, monitoring subsidence on highways, and the satellite-based detection  
143 of suitable areas in the seafloor for onshore wind turbine installation. These applications typically involve the upgrading  
144 of existing technologies and are met with less resistance from producing companies and potential users. This is because  
145 they facilitate the overcoming of socio-organizational barriers rooted in the maintenance of leadership, pre-existing  
146 professional culture, and established work practices.

147

## 148 **3 NASA's Approach to Technology Transfer**

149 On July 29, 1958, President Eisenhower signed the establishing law that gave rise to NASA, the public agency responsible  
150 for shaping not only American but also global space activities. This law outlined the objectives of the newly formed  
151 organization, which succeeded the National Aeronautic Commission (NACA). It emphasized the primary mission of  
152 generating scientific knowledge in the fields of aeronautics and space. Additionally, the law explicitly recognized the  
153 potential for developing "scientific and engineering" applications based on this knowledge. The intention was to leverage



154 these applications for the benefit of the United States in collaboration with other nations, provided that they served  
155 peaceful purposes (Anderson, 1981).

156 These provisions laid the foundation for NASA's future endeavors in technology transfer. It is worth noting that they were  
157 enacted shortly after the Soviet Union's launch of Sputnik-1 on October 4, 1957, which caused widespread concern among  
158 American citizens due to the perceived threat of a Soviet military attack.

159 The budgetary trajectory of NASA over time demonstrates an initial sharp incline leading up to the successful Apollo  
160 Mission in 1969, followed by a subsequent, more gradual upward trend observed in the years 1993 and 1994. These  
161 periods correspond to significant advancements in technology resulting from substantial investments in space research  
162 and experimentation. The first period was characterized by the agency's intensive endeavors to achieve the remarkable  
163 feat of landing on the moon, while the second period witnessed a renewed emphasis on manned astrophysical explorations,  
164 garnering strong support from both President George W. Bush and President Bill Clinton. Many of these technological  
165 breakthroughs have played a pivotal role in driving the process of technology transfer, extending beyond the confines of  
166 the space sector. Notably, this process has continued to thrive even during periods of budgetary constraints for NASA in  
167 subsequent years.

168 Official data provided by NASA indicates that as of the end of 2022, the agency had facilitated the creation of 2,100  
169 spinoff companies and held a portfolio of 1,331 active patents.

170 Over time, it has become evident that the objectives laid out in the National Aeronautics Space Act have been largely  
171 accomplished. The achievements are rooted in consistent choices made by the US federal government, facilitated by  
172 NASA, which include:

173 a) Acknowledging that NASA's initial involvement in the production of innovative space applications should transition  
174 to a market-driven approach, where the market becomes the primary force behind the development of such applications.

175 b) NASA's active efforts to promote the generation of scientific knowledge and the development of potential technical  
176 applications through substantial investments in research and development.

177 c) The US government's commitment to fulfilling its responsibility of supporting the demand for space products and  
178 services through industrial policy measures, aligning with its role.

179 To ensure effective implementation of strategic principles and planned activities, the US government has established  
180 mechanisms for monitoring NASA's progress. Specialized review bodies, appointed by government authorities, conduct  
181 periodic assessments to evaluate the quality and outcomes of technology transfer initiatives targeted at the private  
182 industrial sector. These reviews include recommendations aimed at expediting and expanding the impact of technology  
183 transfer (Busch, 1996). Such oversight was particularly significant during the initial decade of NASA's existence when  
184 the agency's overall investments reached their peak levels. Within these investments, considerable emphasis was placed  
185 on research and development efforts, leading to notable advancements in crucial technological domains, including  
186 integrated circuits, robotics, radiation utilization and shielding, computational software, telecommunications, and more.

187 After several years, in the aftermath of the Space Shuttle disasters that cast uncertainty over NASA's exploration  
188 programs, there emerged a strong inclination to bolster initiatives for the commercialization of space activities and,  
189 consequently, facilitate technology transfer. This led to the establishment of the Chief Technology Office in 2010, which  
190 assumed a prominent position in NASA's organizational structure. This office was entrusted with the crucial task of  
191 organizing and overseeing the agency's technology commercialization programs.

192 In terms of the measures adopted by the US government to support the advancement of technology transfer activities,  
193 particularly the establishment of new ventures, the enactment of the Bayh-Dole Act in 1980 played a pivotal role. This  
194 legislation empowered small businesses and universities to acquire complete or partial ownership of innovations  
195 developed with the use of public funding (Mowery and Sampat, 2004). The implications of this provision extended to  
196 NASA's technology transfer processes as well. As elaborated in the subsequent paragraph, industrial firms operating  
197 outside the realm of space industry, yet expressing interest in adopting NASA's technological breakthroughs, receive  
198 comprehensive assistance encompassing patent support and financial aid. In this regard, NASA has maintained a  
199 longstanding collaboration with the merchant bank Ocean Tomo Federal Services LLC, which commenced in 2008. The



200 objective of this collaboration is to maximize the economic value of licensed patents for the benefit of the requesting  
 201 enterprises (Matthews, 2009).

202

203 **3.1 Technology transfer structures**

204 The significance of technology transfer activity is manifested in its position within the hierarchical structure of the agency.  
 205 The transfer programs fall within the jurisdiction of the central entity known as the "Office of Technology and Strategy,"  
 206 which directly reports to the Deputy Associate Administrator for Business Operations. The execution of operational  
 207 responsibilities is allocated to 10 units situated within NASA, predominantly research centers situated in different states  
 208 throughout the nation, each hosting specialized offices (refer to Table 1 below for further details).

209

Center Name	Expertise and Activities	Location
AMES Research Center	Astronomical studies and space technologies (planets and asteroids), supercomputers, robotic missions, automatic control systems for space flights	Silicon Valley, California
Armstrong Research Center	Aeronautical flight technologies	Ken County, California
Glenn Research Center	Astrophysics research	Cleveland, Ohio
Goddard Space Flight Center	Suborbital space flights	Greenbelt, Pennsylvania
Jet Propulsion Laboratory	Spacecraft propulsion. JPL is managed by the California Institute of Technology	Pasadena, California
Johnson Space Center	Mission preparation and control	Houston, Texas
Kennedy Space Center	Spacecraft launches	Cape Canaveral, Florida
Langley Research Center	Aeronautical and space studies	Hampton, Virginia
Marshall Space Flight Center	Propulsion and communication networks	Madison County, Alabama
Stennis Space Center	Propulsion mechanism testing	Hancock County, Mississippi

210 **Table 1 - NASA Centers with Technology Transfer Offices**

211

212 The specialized transfer offices primarily concentrate on Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) initiatives, which  
 213 aim to facilitate the transfer of NASA technologies to small businesses, thereby promoting the creation of spinoff  
 214 enterprises. In the case of Space Transfer Innovation Research (STIR) projects, these offices facilitate the transfer of  
 215 technologies to established companies operating in non-space sectors (Gaster, 2017). These projects demand a  
 216 significantly higher level of commitment from the transfer offices and often involve engaging in coaching activities. This  
 217 entails defining the profile of potential new businesses, which necessitates market evaluations, assessment of financial  
 218 resources, and evaluation of the organizational and entrepreneurial capabilities of individuals aspiring to establish new  
 219 industrial ventures.

220 There are indications that NASA's shift towards an ecosystem approach is moving away from a "market creation"  
 221 approach and resembling more of a "fixing market failure" approach (Mazzucato, 2015). Upon initial examination, it  
 222 appears that NASA has transitioned from being a dominant driver of innovation and development with active mission-  
 223 oriented policies (Foray et al., 2012) to adopting diffusion-based policies (Chiang, 1991). In this new approach, NASA's  
 224 role is to support the establishment of favorable conditions for markets to emerge, taking on a standard market failure  
 225 approach.

226

227 **3.2 Partnership as the Paradigm of Technology Transfer in the Third Age of NASA**

228 Following the Space Shuttle Columbia disaster in 2001, NASA underwent a significant shift in its focus from manned  
 229 astrophysical exploration to unmanned missions. This transition led to a notable emphasis on robotic technology and  
 230 advancements in radiation-related technologies, which are crucial for astrophysical observations conducted using  
 231 telescopes. These technological innovations not only benefited astrophysical research but also had far-reaching



232 implications for Earth observations. Examples include advancements in spectroscopic investigations, the use of laser  
233 beams, and improvements in terrestrial image resolution.

234 Due to budget constraints compared to previous phases of development, NASA's technology transfer initiatives often take  
235 place within the framework of partnerships. These partnerships involve collaborations with private or public organizations  
236 within the United States. An illustrative instance is NASA's collaboration with the government of California in a program  
237 aimed at monitoring methane emissions in specific areas of the state's territory. Another example is the collaborative  
238 agreement between NASA and Google, signed on July 1, 2022, which seeks to leverage NASA's satellite data to generate  
239 real-time maps of air pollution levels across various regions of the United States.

240 NASA's collaboration with other space agencies has been characterized by the partnership paradigm. While these  
241 agreements primarily serve scientific purposes, they often encompass political objectives and address common practical  
242 issues (Lambright and Schaefer, 2004). Several examples highlight this trend. Even during the Space Race era, NASA  
243 forged a strong collaboration with the Japanese space agency (then known as NASDA) to support Japan's economic  
244 recovery as a former defeated nation and subsequent ally of the United States. This collaboration, which involved the  
245 transfer of knowledge with military implications, was established despite Japan's commitment to disarmament outlined  
246 in the 1951 San Francisco Peace Treaty.

247 NASA's collaboration with the European Space Agency (ESA) has resulted in various astrophysical missions, including  
248 the ongoing "Solar Orbiter" mission aimed at studying the Sun's corona and the origin of magnetic storms. Collaborative  
249 missions focused on Earth observations have also been undertaken, with NASA partnering with agencies from different  
250 countries. For instance, the "Surface Water and Ocean" (SWOT) mission (CNES, 2023), resulting from collaboration  
251 between NASA and the French space agency CNES, aims to accurately measure Earth's surface water topography,  
252 including ocean extent and depth. SWOT, which began its preparatory studies in 2004, seeks to enhance understanding  
253 of climate change's impact on global surface water systems and coastal configurations.

254 Furthermore, the International Space Station (ISS) stands as a significant achievement resulting from the collaboration of  
255 NASA, the Russian space agency Roscosmos, ESA, and JAXA. These examples of international cooperation by NASA  
256 illustrate the agency's diverse collaborative activities and the broad spectrum of knowledge and experience gained through  
257 these exchanges. It is worth noting that NASA has maintained a strong cooperation with the U.S. Department of Defense  
258 (DoD) as well, which had a budget equivalent to NASA's in 2022. Additionally, NASA is actively collaborating with the  
259 DoD in the establishment of the U.S. Space Force, as announced by President Trump in 2022.

260 To underscore its engagement with the ESA market, NASA has recently restructured its technology transfer and industrial  
261 property management by establishing the Directorate for Technology Commercialization. This new structure adopts the  
262 partnership paradigm with other organizations, following NASA's model, and actively seeks spin-in opportunities through  
263 dialogue with non-space companies.

264

#### 265 **4 ESA's Approach to Technology Transfer**

266 ESA (European Space Agency) has a dedicated focus on technology transfer and commercialization through its  
267 Technology Transfer and Business Incubation Office (TTPO). The TTPO's mission is to facilitate the transfer of space  
268 technologies and expertise from the space sector to non-space industries, promoting innovation, economic growth, and  
269 societal benefits.

270 ESA holds a significant portfolio of patents (552 by the end of 2022) resulting from its space research and development  
271 activities. These patents are made available for licensing to interested companies and organizations outside the space  
272 sector.

273 ESA operates a network of Business Incubation Centers across Europe. These centers provide support, mentoring, and  
274 resources to startups and entrepreneurs aiming to develop and commercialize space technologies in non-space sectors.

275 ESA supports the development and demonstration of technology transfer projects through its Technology Transfer  
276 Demonstrator Program. Demonstrators showcase the feasibility and market potential of specific technologies, attracting  
277 interest from potential users and investors.

278 ESA's Business Applications Programs provide funding opportunities for companies and entrepreneurs to develop and  
279 implement innovative applications of space technologies in various sectors. As an example, ESA is willing to provide





280 financial support (through the granting of grants up to 50,000 euros) to proponents of space spin-offs. Another initiative  
281 of the agency concerns the Spark Fund, which was established to accelerate the transfer of space technologies to non-  
282 space companies. It serves as support for streamlining the innovation roadmap towards the market. This tool is made  
283 available to the network of technology brokers, who can also benefit from the collaboration of an Investors Network  
284 activated by the ESA Directorate for the commercialization of space technologies (Kinge and Russo, 2000).

285 ESA facilitates connections between technology seekers and providers through its technology brokerage services. This  
286 involves identifying specific technology needs in non-space industries and connecting them with relevant space-derived  
287 solutions and expertise.

288 ESA plays a "catalyst" role in the development of new commercial or institutionally-governed operators for specific space  
289 applications domains, which leads to the opening of new markets. An example of this is the development of the SPOT  
290 Earth Observation satellites by the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), which resulted in the creation of the first  
291 commercial operator and dealer for space imagery, SPOT Image. ESA has also contributed to the creation of sector-wide  
292 European entities in areas such as space telecommunications, remote sensing, and space transportation.

293 While ESA is primarily an R&D entity focused on space science and technology development, it is not its standard  
294 procedure to manage the full operation of a system in the long term. Instead, ESA seeks independent operators to transfer  
295 responsibilities for operations and user engagement, although it may provide support and necessary facilities if needed.  
296 ESA's role is crucial in initiating and developing space applications, demonstrating the technology and outlining its  
297 financial aspects. Once successful, ESA either selects an operator or establishes one. The agency maintains a strong  
298 relationship with the operator, assisting in system integration and providing expertise. The developer agency continues to  
299 act as the procurement source for new generations of space systems.

300 Once an operator is selected, there is a direct transfer of responsibilities from the development agency to the operator.  
301 The operator is responsible for operating the system, ensuring product and service availability, engaging with users,  
302 identifying requirements, procuring new systems, and ensuring cash-flow. This process leads to the establishment of a  
303 sustainable service. In some cases, the original developer agency still plays a role as a procurement source.

304 The process of technology transfer and commercialization in ESA's history has occurred in meteorology,  
305 telecommunications, and launchers, with each instance having unique details. Meteorological services and  
306 telecommunications have been maintained as public entities for public goods, while the latter stimulated enough market  
307 growth to be privatized. These entities were initially established as public International Governmental Organizations  
308 (IGOs) in the 1970s and evolved over time.

309

#### 310 **4.1 A "network-based" approach to technology transfer**

311 The technology transfer programs of ESA rely on three interconnected networks operating across Europe, which  
312 distinguishes ESA from NASA. The first network consists of brokers and expert technologists present in 30 European  
313 Union countries. They facilitate the transfer and development of space technologies, including collaborations with  
314 companies in other industrial sectors. This network has fostered spin-in technology transfer projects, particularly by  
315 supporting the growth of small businesses operating within the supply chain of major contractors for exploratory missions.

316 The second network comprises Business Incubation Centers (BIC), with 90 units across EU countries by the end of 2022  
317 and an annual growth rate expected to be no less than 40 units. BICs primarily focus on generating spin-offs in the satellite  
318 services sector, such as navigation, telecommunications, environmental surveys, and territorial monitoring. Aspiring  
319 entrepreneurs receive a two-year support period and a €50,000 grant for startup expenses. Local authorities often provide  
320 favorable services and financial resources to support the development of BICs, driven by the aim of creating new  
321 employment opportunities.

322 The NEREUS network, founded in 2007 as an associative structure of European regions, has played a significant role in  
323 facilitating the transfer of space technologies. It connects regions from European Union member states and utilizes  
324 observations from Copernicus SENTINEL satellites for various land surveys and monitoring activities, including water  
325 regimes, agriculture, land subsidence, transportation, forests, and biodiversity. The EU supports the NEREUS network  
326 based on the belief that the development of satellite services can promote economic growth and cohesion among  
327 populations in different territorial areas of the continent. GMES interventions by the NEREUS network have involved 26  
328 regions in 12 EU member countries, along with collaborative relationships with 36 regions from non-European countries.





329 The network has published a collection of 99 case histories that provide analytical information on the results and methods  
330 adopted using Copernicus satellite observations.

331

#### 332 **4.2 ESA and local authorities**

333 ESA maintains a close relationship with local authorities, including regional and municipal governments, within its  
334 member states. This collaboration is crucial for leveraging local resources, expertise, and infrastructure to support ESA's  
335 space programs and initiatives.

336

337 ESA recognizes the importance of engaging with local authorities to foster regional development, stimulate innovation,  
338 and create opportunities for economic growth. ESA collaborates with local authorities in various ways:

339 1. Infrastructure: ESA often relies on existing infrastructure and facilities provided by local authorities for its space-  
340 related activities. This includes the use of launch sites, testing facilities, and research centers located within the regions.  
341 The French Guiana Space Center is a prime example of regional spaceport development due to its strategic location near  
342 the equator, which offers several advantages for launching satellites. The development of the French Guiana Space Center  
343 involved close collaboration between ESA, the French government, and local authorities. ESA has worked in partnership  
344 with the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES), the French space agency, to establish and develop the spaceport  
345 infrastructure.

346 2. Funding and Support: ESA provides financial support to regional projects through its funding programs. Local  
347 authorities can access ESA grants and resources to develop and implement space-related projects and initiatives within  
348 their regions. This funding helps to stimulate local economies, create jobs, and drive innovation. One such example is the  
349 collaboration between ESA and the Regional Innovation and Entrepreneurship Funds (FIRE) in Catalonia, Spain to  
350 support innovation in space-related technologies, applications, and services.

351 3. Knowledge Exchange: ESA engages in knowledge-sharing activities with local authorities to promote the transfer of  
352 space-related expertise and technology. This includes organizing workshops, seminars, and training programs for local  
353 stakeholders to enhance their understanding of space applications and foster collaboration between ESA and regional  
354 entities. An example of an educational and outreach program conducted by ESA in collaboration with local authorities is  
355 the "ESA School Space Education" initiative, in implementing the "Astro Pi" project. Astro Pi involves sending Raspberry  
356 Pi computers equipped with special sensors and cameras to the International Space Station (ISS).

357 4. Policy and Regulation: ESA collaborates with local authorities in shaping policies and regulations related to space  
358 activities. This involvement ensures that local regulations align with ESA's objectives and facilitate the growth of space-  
359 related industries within the regions. Local authorities play a vital role in creating an enabling environment for space  
360 innovation and entrepreneurship. One practical example of collaboration between ESA and local authorities for policy  
361 and regulation is the partnership between ESA and the European Union (EU) in the development and implementation of  
362 the Copernicus program. In this collaboration, ESA works closely with local authorities and regulatory bodies within EU  
363 member states to ensure the effective implementation and utilization of Copernicus data and services at the regional and  
364 national levels. For instance, local authorities responsible for environmental management, urban planning, agriculture,  
365 and disaster response can access and utilize Copernicus data and services to monitor land use, assess environmental  
366 changes, manage resources, and enhance preparedness for natural disasters. The data provided by Copernicus satellites  
367 can contribute to evidence-based policymaking and facilitate the development of sustainable strategies at the local level.

368 5. Socio-economic Impact: ESA works closely with local authorities to assess and promote the socio-economic impact of  
369 space-related activities within their regions. This includes studying the economic benefits, job creation potential, and  
370 societal advancements resulting from space programs. ESA and local authorities collaborate to showcase these impacts  
371 and attract further investments in the space sector. One notable case study is the collaboration between ESA and the  
372 Regional Council of Occitanie in France for the development of precision agriculture applications. Occitanie is an  
373 agricultural region facing challenges related to optimizing crop production, reducing environmental impact, and  
374 improving resource efficiency.

375 In this collaboration, ESA and the Regional Council of Occitanie worked together to leverage satellite data and  
376 technologies to develop innovative solutions for precision agriculture. Satellite imagery, combined with ground-based  
377 sensors and data analytics, provides farmers and local authorities with real-time information on crop health, soil moisture,



378 and environmental conditions. The partnership resulted in increased productivity, resource efficiency, and environmental  
379 sustainability in local farming practices. It also showcased the potential for broader adoption of satellite-based  
380 applications in other agricultural regions, leading to socio-economic benefits beyond the initial collaboration.

381

## 382 **5 Summary of Approaches Adopted**

383 NASA and ESA have collaborated extensively in astrophysics missions and as partners in the International Space Station  
384 (ISS), but they have distinct approaches to technology transfer.

385 ESA is an association of 15 member states, each represented by their national agencies, while NASA is the sole space  
386 agency of the US federal government. ESA's strategies and commitments are based on objectives shared by its member  
387 states, while collaborative projects involving the military are managed by national space agencies. In contrast, NASA has  
388 collaborative relationships and knowledge exchange with research facilities in the US defence sector.

389 NASA's technology transfer programs are driven by market logic, aiming to find commercial applications for scientific  
390 knowledge and space technologies. Their focus is on economic development through the creation of tradable products  
391 and services. ESA, on the other hand, prioritizes the ability of space technologies to meet the economic and social needs  
392 of its member states, leading to investments in Earth Observation programs. Upon initial examination, it seems that NASA  
393 has transitioned from being the dominant director of innovation and development, with active mission-oriented policies  
394 to adopting diffusion-based policies. In this new approach, NASA's role is to facilitate the creation of the right conditions  
395 for markets to emerge, adopting a standard market failure approach.

396 ESA's technology transfer projects involve networks such as NEREUS and BIC, which closely collaborate with regions  
397 and local public administrations in member states. ESA recognizes the importance of public administration structures as  
398 vehicles for technology transfer and diffusion.

399 NASA often adopts a partnership paradigm, collaborating with other space agencies, large companies, universities, and  
400 individual states within the USA to gain new knowledge and cost savings. ESA also engages in partnerships with space  
401 agencies but has also employed collective partnership systems. ESA encourages potential partners to associate themselves  
402 before initiating cooperative projects, as seen in the creation of the NEREUS network and collaborations with various  
403 associations.

404 In summary, while NASA's technology transfer is driven by market orientation, ESA prioritizes meeting the needs of its  
405 member states. ESA also emphasizes collaboration with local authorities and adopts collective partnership approaches for  
406 technology transfer. These differences reflect their distinct governance structures and strategic priorities.

407

## 408 **6 Summary**

409 ESA and NASA have distinct technology transfer approaches and models, although there are also areas of overlap and  
410 similarities. Key differences may be traced back to the following:

411 1. Organizational Structure: ESA has a dedicated unit called the Technology Transfer and Business Incubation Office  
412 (TTPO) responsible for managing technology transfer activities. NASA, on the other hand, has the Office of the Chief  
413 Technologist (OCT) and the Office of the Chief Technologist - Partnerships (OCT-P) overseeing technology transfer  
414 efforts. The organizational structure and focus of these units may influence the strategies and priorities in technology  
415 transfer.

416 2. Funding Mechanisms: ESA operates its Technology Transfer Program (TTP), which provides financial support to  
417 promote the transfer of space technologies to non-space sectors. This includes funding for research and development  
418 projects, business incubation centers, and technology demonstrations. NASA, on the other hand, primarily relies on its  
419 internal budget for technology transfer initiatives, with a focus on partnerships and collaborations with industry and other  
420 organizations.

421 3. Approach to Intellectual Property: ESA tends to adopt a more open approach to intellectual property, often making its  
422 patents available for licensing to interested parties outside the space sector. NASA, on the other hand, has a more diverse



423 approach, with some technologies being patented and licensed, while others are made available as public domain or  
424 subject to specific restrictions.

425 4. International Collaborations: ESA, as a multinational organization, places emphasis on international collaborations and  
426 partnerships with other space agencies and countries. This includes technology transfer initiatives conducted in  
427 cooperation with its member states and international partners. NASA, while also engaging in international collaborations,  
428 primarily focuses on partnerships within the United States, including collaborations with industry, academia, and  
429 government agencies.

430 5. Business Incubation Centers: ESA operates a network of ESA Business Incubation Centers (ESA BICs) across Europe,  
431 providing support and resources to startups and entrepreneurs. These centers help foster the development and  
432 commercialization of space technologies in non-space sectors. NASA has a more decentralized approach to business  
433 incubation, with various centers and programs supporting entrepreneurship and technology commercialization, but  
434 without a centralized network like the ESA BICs.

435 6. Commercialization Focus: NASA's technology transfer efforts often emphasize commercialization and the transfer of  
436 technologies to the private sector for market-driven applications. ESA, while also targeting commercialization, has a  
437 broader focus on technology transfer for socio-economic impact, including applications in industry, public services, and  
438 societal benefit domains.

439 It's important to note that despite these differences, both ESA and NASA share common goals of maximizing the societal  
440 and economic impact of space technologies, fostering innovation and entrepreneurship, and driving advancements in  
441 various sectors through technology transfer. Both agencies recognize the importance of leveraging space technologies for  
442 terrestrial applications and promoting collaborations with external entities to achieve these objectives.

443

#### 444 **References**

- 445 Anderson, F. W.: Orders of Magnitude: A History of NACA and NASA, 1915-1980 (No. NASA-SP-4403), 1981.
- 446 Bozeman, B.: Technology transfer and public policy: a review of research and theory, *Res. Policy*, 29, 627-655, 2000.
- 447 Breton, S., Duchesne, T., and Vallerand, É.: Technology Push and Demand Pull in the Space Industry: Insights from  
448 Earth Observation Satellites, *Technovation*, 85-86, 34-45, 2019.
- 449 Busch, L.B.: Enhancing the Effectiveness of NASA's Technology Transfer Program, National Academies Press, 1996.
- 450 Chebukhanova, I.V., Proskuryakova, L.N., Gogoleva, A.A., and Ivanova, I.A.: Technology Transfer in the Aerospace  
451 Industry: Experience of Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2058, 2022.
- 452 Chiang, J. T.: From 'mission-oriented' to 'diffusion-oriented' paradigm: the new trend of US industrial technology policy,  
453 *Technovation* 11, 339–356, 1991.
- 454 CNES: SWOT, <https://swot.cnes.fr/en/SWOT/index.htm>, 2003.
- 455 de Pippo, E., Lavagna, M., and Viola, N.: The Role of Small Satellites in Earth Observation: A Review of Recent  
456 Developments, *Progress in Aerospace Sciences*, 104, 1-20, 2019.
- 457 Foray, D., Mowery, D.C., and Nelson, R.R.: Public R&D and social challenges: what lessons from mission R&D  
458 programs?, *Res. Policy* 41, 1697–1702, 2012.
- 459 Gaster, R.: Technology Transfer and Commercialization Programs at NASA's Johnson Space Center, in: *Technology  
460 Transfer for Entrepreneurs: A Guide to Commercializing Federal Laboratory Innovations*, edited by Peterson C., 49-69,  
461 CRC Press, 2017.
- 462 Guarnieri, F., Alessi, E. M., and Santoro, V.: Technology Transfer and Innovation in the Space Sector: Insights from the  
463 Italian Experience, *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 113, 256-269, 2016.
- 464 Johnson, S.: *The Space Race: A History from Beginning to End*, CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, 2012.



- 465 Kinge, J., and Russo, A.: A History of the European Space Agency: 1958-1987. ESA Ed. SP – 1235-2000.  
466 <https://www.esa.int/esapub/sp/sp1235/sp1235v1web.pdf>
- 467 Lambright, W. H., and Schaefer, A. G.: The Political Context of Technology Transfer: NASA and the International Space  
468 Station, *Comparative Technology Transfer and Society* 2, 1-24, doi:10.1353/ctt.2004.0009, 2004.
- 469 Logsdon, J. M.: *John F. Kennedy and the Race to the Moon*, Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.
- 470 Matthews, J. N.: NASA sells technology rights to highest bidder, *Physics Today*, 62, 23-24, 2009.
- 471 Mazzucato, M.: From market fixing to market creating: a new framework for economic policy, *SPRU Working Paper*  
472 *Series*, 2015-25, 1–19, 2015.
- 473 Mowery, D.C., and Sampat, B.N.: The Bayh-Dole Act of 1980 and University–Industry Technology Transfer: A Model  
474 for Other OECD Governments?, *The Journal of Technology Transfer* 30, 115–127, 2004. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-004-4361-z)  
475 [004-4361-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-004-4361-z)
- 476 Rosenberg, M., Bladon, G., Russo, P., and Christensen, L. L.: *Astronomy in Everyday Life*, *Communicating Astronomy*  
477 *with the Public Journal*, 14, 30, 2014.
- 478 Schmitt, H. H.: *Return to the Moon: Exploration, Enterprise, and Energy in the Human Settlement of Space*, Copernicus  
479 Books, 2004.
- 480 Spangenburg, R. D., and Moser, D. K.: *The History of Space Exploration*, The Creative Company, 2003.
- 481 Verbano, C., Crema, M., and Franzoni, C.: Demand Pull and Technology Push: The Case of Earth Observation Systems,  
482 *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 120, 256-268, 2017.
- 483 Verbano, C., Zara, A., and Manzini, R.: Small and Medium-sized Enterprises in Space Industry: Technological  
484 Capabilities and Patterns of Collaboration, *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, 6, 2012.
- 485 Williamson, R. A.: *Military Space Power: A Guide to the Issues*, Praeger, 2014.