HMS Challenger and SMS Gazelle –
their 19th century voyages compared

William John Gould[1]

[1]Marine Physics and Ocean Climate, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK

Correspondence to: W John Gould (wjg@noc.ac.uk)

Abstract. This paper analyses the pioneering global voyages of HMS *Challenger* and SMS *Gazelle* in the 1870s – a time of rapid scientific advances and technological innovation. The voyage of *Challenger* has become well known as marking the start of the global-scale science of oceanography. The voyage of the *Gazelle* is much less well known despite the two voyages ending in the same year, 1876, and having similar geographical and scientific scope.

Rather than focussing on the scientific achievements, the paper concentrates on how the expeditions were planned and executed, the lives and characters of the personnel involved and the underlying motivation behind the voyages. The paper presents the author's translations of key elements of the *Gazelle* reports as a means of introducing the Gazelle expedition to an English-speaking readership.

1 Introduction

In spring 1876 two naval vessels anchored in the River Plate in Montevideo, Uruguay, as they returned towards their home ports at the end of their multi-year circumnavigations. (Figure 1). The descriptions of their time in that port recorded in the official narratives differed markedly. That of SMS[1] *Gazelle* (in port, 16-19 February) (Hydrographisches Amt, 1888) comments that they found HMS[2] *Challenger* (in port 15-25 February) there and reached an agreement that the two vessels would follow different tracks towards Europe; *Gazelle* eastwards on 35°S and then northwards on 25°W, *Challenger* eastwards on 38°S and then northwards on 15°W. Surprisingly the official *Challenger* narrative (Tizard et.al., 1885) makes no mention of the encounter with *Gazelle*. It is, however, mentioned in the personal letters of Assistant Steward Joe Matkin (Rehbock, 1992) and on page 194/5 of the travelogue of James Wild, the *Challenger's* official artist (Wild, 1878). The *Challenger* narrative does, however, comment on the cost of beef and sheep meat in Montevideo.

---

[1] Seiner Majestät Schiff (His Majesty's Ship)
[2] Her Majesty's Ship

Figure 1: *Challenger* (red) and *Gazelle* (yellow) station positions overlaid on the now-known
(ETOP05) ocean bathymetry.

This suggests that the two expeditions took rather different approaches to reporting. What were the
other differences and the similarities and how did the two expeditions relate to one-another?

2 The historical, scientific and technological context

40   The 1860s and 70s were decades of invention, expansion and change. At sea, steam and sail co-existed,
(Harley, 2010), with many ships now powered with both sail and steam-driven screw propulsion using
coal-fired boilers. Sail freed them on long voyages from total dependence on widely spaced coaling
stations, while steam gave them greater manoeuvrability in light winds and in confined waters.

45   Politically, in Europe the decade started with the Franco-Prussian war declared in July 1870. Prussian
forces besieged Paris and the navy of the North German States was blockaded in its home ports by the
French fleet. Those navies were in the midst of the transition from sail to steam. In part, the blockade
failed because of a shortage of coal supplies for the French. By February 1871 victory was declared by
the newly-founded Federal German state.

In Britain, 1870 saw the 50 year-old Queen Victoria start the 33rd year of her reign over Great Britain
and Ireland and over an empire with a population approaching 300 million spanning the globe.
Communication across that empire was still largely by sea using fast mail ships. The building of the
Suez Canal, a project led by the French but largely ignored by Britain, shortened passage times between

55 Britain and India. (Bell, 1965)[3]. Its opening in 1865 was a major event and presented an opportunity for a meeting of the world's maritime powers.

In June 1870 a new era dawned as the final connection was made in a telegraph cable linking Britain to India. (By the mid-1860s transatlantic telegraph messages could be transmitted at 8 words per minute).

60 Laying and maintaining submarine cables brought about a growth in what we now call marine technology. Brunel's ship, the *Great Eastern*, had had an uneconomical life as a transatlantic passenger ship from 1859 to 63 but in 1865 was converted for cable laying, a task she continued to carry out until she was laid up in 1874. Ships with suitable steam powered winches were needed to deploy the submarine cables and to recover them if they failed. Critically, knowledge was needed of ocean depths,

65 not just close to land but along the entire cable routes, and of the nature of the sea bed.

Many years of seafaring had resulted in the accumulation of a great deal of knowledge about the oceans' waves and currents. These were systematically analysed and summarised in Maury's "Physical Geography of the Sea" (Maury, 1855), an initiative perhaps in part stimulated by Benjamin Franklin's

70 study of the Gulf Stream and Timothy Folger's map (Richardson, 1980) published in 1778 and by James Rennell's (Rennell, 1832) posthumously-published study of ocean currents.

Safe access to ports depended on knowledge of the state of the tides and during the 19th century the number of places with systematic tidal observations, mostly in Europe and North America, grew. The

75 understanding of tidal theory increased to the point where a tidal prediction machine could be built by Sir William Thomson in 1872. (Cartwright, 1999).

However, below the surface the oceans remained unexplored and unknown save for the discoveries made a small number of pioneering voyages, notably the researches of Carpenter, Jeffreys and Wyville

80 Thomson on HMSs *Lightning* and *Porcupine* in 1869 and summarised by Wyville Thomson (1873).

The large-scale understanding of terrestrial geological features was at that time encapsulated in the various works of Charles Lyell between 1830 and 1868 and notably his "Principles of Geology" (Lyell, 1830-68). The development of the understanding of the terrestrial and coastal flora and fauna had been

85 published in Darwin's Origin of the Species (Darwin, 1859).

---

[3] See also https://wavellroom.com/2021/07/16/britain-suez-canal-strategy-1854-1882/ (last access 12 Apr 2022)

Photography was also becoming commonplace and though, in the context of science, it allowed the accurate recording of places and objects, it required cumbersome plate cameras and long exposure times and was not well suited to record activities.

90

This then sets the scene for two round-the world voyages of ocean exploration conducted in the 1870s. One, that of HMS *Challenger*, is well known and resulted in an enormous volume of reports and publications together with biological and seabed samples that continue to be analysed. The other, by the German Naval vessel SMS *Gazelle*, is much less known.

95

3 Preparations and rationale for the voyages

Present-day expeditions with global scope require detailed and extensive planning and the commitment of substantial resources. The same was true in the 1870s. Both of these voyages were carried out using naval vessels indicating national levels of commitment.

100

3.1 The Naval and organisational context

Before the 1870s there had been very few global scale expeditions and certainly few that had a significant scientific component. Many had been aboard British vessels - James Cook, HMS *Endeavour*
105   1776-81; George Vancouver, HMSs *Discovery* and *Chatham* 1792-5; Matthew Flinders, HMS *Investigator* 1801-3; Robert Fitzroy, HMS *Beagle* 1831-6. Some carried civilian scientists, notably Joseph Banks with Cook and Charles Darwin with Fitzroy.

Less well known are the two voyages led by Jules Durmont-d'Urville aboard the French ship
110   *l'Astrolabe* (1826-9 and 1837-40). Both had a Pacific and Australasian focus but the second sought to reach the South Magnetic Pole. (Durmont-d'Urville, 1842-6). The 1857-9 circumnavigation by SMS *Novara* (Scherzer, 1861-3) on behalf of Austro-Hungarian Navy is also little known but it carried 7 scientists and its investigations were guided by Alexander von Humboldt who exhorted them *inter alia* to measure sea temperatures and ocean currents, using drift bottles, and to create bench marks against
115   which sea level change could be measured.

The *Challenger* and *Gazelle* belonged to very different navies. The Royal Navy was long-established and in the 1870s was arguably the sole global sea power, a position encapsulated in the phrase

"Britannia Rules the Waves" originating in the 1760s[4]. The Royal Navy also had a long history of its

120 ships carrying out global-scale voyages of exploration. So, the Navy's role in, and support for, the

Challenger voyage is unsurprising.

The rationale behind the Challenger expedition is summarised in the introduction to Wyville Thomson's

1878 report on "The Atlantic" (Wyville Thomson, 1878) largely written while *Challenger* was still at

125 sea. The report is dedicated to the Right Honorable G.J. Goschen, M.P.

" the First Lord of the Admiralty under whose administration the *Challenger* Expedition was organised "

a clear recognition of the scientists' indebtedness to the Admiralty.

130

After describing many of the factors already touched on in section 2 of this paper, Wyville Thomson

states:

"….and finally Dr. Carpenter addressed a letter to the First Lord of the Admiralty, urging the dispatch of a

135 circumnavigating expedition thoroughly equipped, and with a competent scientific staff, to traverse the great ocean basins and prepare sections showing their physical and biological conditions, along certain lines. Dr Carpenter's letter was referred in due course to the Hydrographer to the Navy, who at once threw himself cordially into the project and prepared a report, which resulted in the Lords of the Admiralty agreeing to the dispatch of such an expedition if the Royal Society recommended it, and provided them with a feasible scheme. A committee was appointed by the Royal

140 Society, and the comprehensive scheme was set up."

This was to be an unusual arrangement with a fully equipped naval survey vessel carrying out her

normal duties as detailed in the sailing instructions issued to her commanding officer, and yet carrying a

team of distinguished, civilian scientists each with their own interests and more loosely-defined

145 objectives and with a recognised scientific leader. These potential tensions are alluded to by Wyville

Thomson in the Preface (p xii) to (Wyville Thomson, 1878) but, clearly, they did not pose a problem.

"The somewhat critical experiment of associating a party of civilians, holding to a certain extent an independent position, with the naval staff of a man-of-war, has for once been successful. Captain Nares and Captain Thomson

150 both fully recognized that the expedition was intended for scientific purposes, and I do not think that in one single case the operations of the combined scientific staff were hampered in the least by avoidable service routine. All the naval officers, without exception, assisted the civilian staff in every way in their power, and in the most friendly spirit. If I wished anything done I had only to consider who was the man, naval or civilian, who was likely to do it best; and the consequence has been that, with the entire sanction of Captain Nares and Captain Thomson, the parties

155 sent to camp out or detailed for any special service have always been mixed, to the great advantage, I believe, of all concerned".

---

[4] Now best known for its use in Sir Henry Wood's musical composition, Fantasia on British Sea Songs.

The Imperial German Navy (Kaiserliche Marine), by contrast, had only come into existence after the

foundation of German Reich in 1871. It grew out of the Prussian Navy and was headed by General

160   Albrecht von Stosch (1818-1896) (Hollyday, 2017). (von Stosch did not became an admiral until

1875!).

The personal memoirs of Admiral Alfred von Tirpitz, (von Tirpitz, 1919), provide some context in

terms of von Stosch's leadership, the new navy's primary objectives and of the wider political climate.

165

"Stosch (sic) started from the idea of developing Germany's maritime interests, of strengthening and protecting
"Germandom" and German labour in the world".

"Stosch's increasing endeavour to further Germany's maritime interests in all directions was pursued under great
170   difficulties from the beginning of his period of office. Foreign service at this time almost overstrained the resources of
the navy. Every commander, however, could reckon upon Stosch's consistent support in his activities abroad, even in
the often independent and difficult decisions which foreign service required as a result of the scarcity of cable
connections. But this was not done without some friction with the Imperial Chancellor."

175   von Tirpitz remarks that the continuing Prussian influences in Germany's government favoured the

army over the new navy which was seen as being tainted with links to commerce and trade.

"As far back as the seventies Stosch was convinced that we must acquire colonies, and that we could not continue in
existence without some means of expansion. He considered that the prosperity of the young Empire would only be
180   ephemeral if we did not counterbalance the decided disadvantage of our position and history overseas before it was
too late"

"He attached great value to the posting of cruisers to foreign stations, and rightly too in his time".

185   There is however a clear hint that von Stosch supported the new Navy being technologically and

scientifically advanced.

"In the naval academy which Stosch founded at Kiel he inspired the right idea of teaching fewer special subjects, and
promoting general education and independent study. A great deal of mathematics was taught, besides philosophy,
190   natural and nautical science (regarding which we sent many observations to the museums during our voyages), and
astronomy, which in any case can be reckoned among the special sciences"

von Tirpitz also remarks on the high esteem in which the British (English) Royal Navy was held both in

terms of military experience and technical prowess, as the following quotation makes clear.

195

"We grew up on the British navy like a creeping plant. We preferred to get our supplies from England. If an engine
ran smoothly and without a hitch, if a rope or a chain did not break, then it was certain not to be a home-made article,
but a product of English workshops—a rope with the famous red strand of the British navy. In those ships which we
had built ourselves things broke with uncomfortable readiness".

200

Remarkably there is a reference to *Gazelle* in the von Tirpitz memoirs, but it does not relate to her round-the world voyage.

205 "It was seldom that the paths of the Prussian Navy crossed those of Prussian politics. When it did happen, it was generally in the way related to us by those who took part in the voyage of the *Gazelle* to Japan in 1864. A German ship had gone ashore in the neighbourhood of Yokohama, and had been looted. The commander of the *Gazelle*, Captain von Bothmer, went thither with a landing party to protect it."

3.2 Sailing orders

210

As with all Naval voyages, then and now, each vessel's commanding officer was issued with sailing orders indicating where the vessel was to go, what tasks it should undertake and setting the rules under which the vessel should operate. *Challenger's* sailing orders were issued to vessel's Captain and to Prof. Wyville Thomson both by the Navy Hydrographer, George Henry Richards, and by Robert Hall,

215 Naval Secretary of the Admiralty. These instructions appear on pages 34 to 40 of Tizard et.al., (1885) and contain the following instruction to Nares.

220 "The main object of the voyage is to investigate the physical conditions of the deep sea throughout the three great ocean basins, that is, to ascertain the depth, temperature, circulation &c., to examine the physical and chemical characteristics of their deposits, and to determine the distribution of organic life, throughout the areas traversed, at the surface, at intermediate depths, and especially at the deep ocean bottoms.

225 As secondary, but by no means unimportant objects are the hydrographical examination of all the unknown or partially explored regions which you may visit, a diligent search for all dangers which may be in or near your track, with a view to expunging them from the charts or definitely determining their positions, a careful series of magnetical and meteorological data, and the observation and record generally of all those oceanic and atmospheric or phenomena which, when faithfully recorded, afford the means of compiling practical information of the greatest importance to seamen. Your own experience as the commander of a surveying ship, and the general rules which have been issued from time to time by the hydrographical department for the guidance of Admiralty Surveyors, - copies of which are

230 supplied to you - obviate the necessity of entering into any detailed instructions on this head, and I will only observe that on all the coasts along which you may pass, and at all the ports which you may visit, I shall hope to receive from you such surveys and such complete hydrographical information as circumstances and the time at your disposal may enable you to accomplish

235 If anyone of the various objects of the expedition is more important than another, it may be said to be the accurate determination of the depth of the ocean, for on this must depend many other problems of deep scientific interest"

The route that was to be followed will be discussed later in this paper. However, the part of the sailing orders describing it was prefaced with the following.

240 "The general route which it is proposed the ship should follow is shown on a chart of the world which you are provided with, and although it is possible that it may be found necessary to deviate in some degree from the course there laid down, and that you may not be able to adhere strictly to the dates assigned in these instructions, yet they are to be observed as far as circumstances will admit, and there must be no departure from the general programme

245 without the special sanction of their Lordships."

The *Challenger* voyage was a major event in the history of the Royal Navy's Hydrographic Service and in the career of Admiral Richards as is remarked in Dawson, (1885).

250 "At the close of 1872, the chief event of Sir George Richards' official career as hydrographer took place, in the sailing of the *Challenger* on a scientific voyage of three years duration. There is no doubt but that he was the prime mover in that undertaking from start to finish, not only in a scientific sense, owing to his position as one of the Council of the Royal Society, but especially as regards the more practical and less pleasant portion of his official duty, in successfully overcoming any monetary objection raised against its advancement.

255 In a few remarks made in public, prior to the *Challenger*'s departure, the hydrographer remarked, " that an expedition such as this, which had been the hope and dream of his life, was now on the eve of realization." "

The only source of information on *Gazelle* expedition that describes the voyage's overall purpose can be found in the first volume of the published report (Hydrographisches Amt, 1888).

260

The opening lines of the Preface[5] state:

"In 1874, SMS *Gazelle* was sent on a two-year voyage, firstly to carry the German expedition destined for the observation of the transit of Venus in December 1874 to the Kerguelen Islands and to take part in these observations, 265 and secondly to promote oceanography and to conduct physical and oceanographic research in the maritime sciences".

The importance of the transit of Venus in defining the early part of the *Gazelle* expedition is a major difference between the two voyages. *Gazelle* was tasked with transporting a team of six astronomers, 270 led by Carl Börgen, and their equipment to the observation site at Betsy Cove on the Island of Kerguelen in the South Indian Ocean (approx. 49°S 69°E). Following the completion of the observations [6] the astronomers and their equipment were to be taken to Mauritius from whence they would return to Europe on a commercial vessel and *Gazelle* would continue her circumnavigation. The details of the astronomical observations in Kerguelen are described in (Duerbeck, 2004). A more 275 personal account attributed to one of them, Ladislaus Weinek, is given in (Davoust, 1999). No further description of that astronomical work is given here.

The overall scientific rationale for the *Gazelle's* oceanographic and geophysical observations is similar to that given for the *Challenger* voyage and indeed refers to her voyage which set off 18 months before 280 *Gazelle*. The rationale for the *Gazelle*'s work is set in the context of Maury's promotion of the collection of systematic observations as follows

---

[5] Quotations in English from the *Gazelle* reports are the author's own translations. The author's translation of the introduction to the *Gazelle* narrative is appended as additional material to this paper. It is what is referred to as "frei Ubersetzung" (free translation) not literally word for word but conveying the meaning.

[6] A parallel set of observations was made by German astronomers at Tschifu (now Yantai in Shandong Province of PRC). At the time of the transit Challenger was undergoing a long stay and refit in Hong Kong.

285 "Only at the beginning of the (18) fifties did a new area of systematic exploration of the seas begin on a strictly scientific basis. MAURY, the director of the National Observatory in Washington, deserves the credit for giving the first impetus to this and for having applied a systematic approach. After collecting oceanic and meteorological observations made by American seafarers between 1840 and 1850, he designed schemes to achieve a uniform observation system, which was given to the American ships to record their observations which were then returned to the central office after the voyage and analysed. Furthermore, following his suggestion, the government of the United States requested other seafaring nations to develop and participate in oceanic and maritime-meteorological research.

290 They were invited to a conference in Brussels in August 1853, at which the first agreements on this were made.

MAURY's efforts were particularly encouraged by the need for cable-laying overseas, which arises from the trade and transport conditions of the new era, and which in turn requires precise knowledge of the depths of the sea, the nature of the seabed and other physical properties of the ocean."

295

Three sets of sailing orders (dated 3 June and 13 November 1874 and 23 June 1875) were issued by the head of the Imperial German Navy, Admiral Albrecht von Stosch.

It is clear from these orders that the German Admiralty was monitoring *Challenger*'s progress as there

300 are references to it in the First sailing orders

"After leaving Kiel, after the coal has been replenished in Plymouth if necessary, you should choose the course so that it starts from the latitude of the Azores almost halfway between the course of the English ship "*Challenger*" and the European-African coast, then to pass Madeira and the Canary Islands in the west and, if necessary, -. to call at the

305 Cape Verde Islands to refill coal".

"The most recent work by HMS "*Challenger*" in the North and South Atlantic Oceans gives clues for deciding the importance of the positions with regard to these observations. There is a copy of the report on this work up to the Cape of Good Hope on board SMS "*Gazelle*", from which the main sounding positions can be taken, and since

310 comparison observations relating to the earlier American work are also included in this report, so it offers the clues for the decision of the expediency of the observation for certain stretches".

And in the Third Instructions

315 "Consideration is also given to the soundings along the line which HMS "*Challenger*", which has recently been active in the western part of the Pacific Ocean and will continue to do so in the northern and western parts in the near future, as well as on the routes and areas already worked by the "*Gazelle*"".

There follow detailed instructions relating to Gazelle making observations to complement those made

320 by *Challenger* in the Pacific around the Kermadec Islands, Tonga and Fiji.

The overall rationale for the voyage and the constraints within which Gazelle operated are summarised in an early paragraph

325 "By the highest cabinet order of March 10th this year S.M.S. *Gazelle* is commissioned for scientific purposes, and the corvette has been given special equipment for this purpose. In order to gain space, the guns have been reduced to eight and the crew has been reduced. Nevertheless, S.M.S. *Gazelle* must retain the character of a warship and I expect that, Your Excellency, the conventions of managing the ship will always be maintained, even under the given circumstances"

330

Shortly thereafter there is a reference to a visit by *Gazelle* to the River Congo and to Loanda (Luanda,

Angola).

335
> "You will find the German expedition to explore Central Africa on the Loanda coast. The appearance of the "*Gazelle*" there will increase the reputation of the expedition among the population and can be of advantage for their work. A further purpose should by no means be connected with the visit to this coast, and your Excellency must avoid any demonstration which could give the inhabitants the impression that you are pursuing political aims".

This must refer to the Loango Expedition (1873-6) (Güssfeldt et.al., 1888). The report of that expedition

340 refers to observations by SMS *Gazelle* being used to confirm the expeditions' magnetic observations.

This wording of sailing instructions hints, perhaps, that the voyage may also have had an underlying

"show the flag" purpose on behalf of the newly-founded German state and its navy but that they were

trying not to give that impression.

4. The ships

345 Both *Challenger* and *Gazelle* were midsized warships, each with both sails and steam propulsion. Sails

were used primarily on passage and steam propulsion, (Sennett and Oram, 1899), was available for

holding position when making observations. Both ships had already spent considerable time far from

their home countries. *Challenger* had been flagship of the Australia station from 1866 to 1870 and

Gazelle had been sent to Japan in 1864 on a somewhat political mission to protect a German ship that

350 had been wrecked near Yokohama (von Tirpitz, 1919). Their visual similarity (Figure 2) is striking,

though *Challenger* appears to have a higher freeboard than *Gazelle*.

Figure 2: Left. HMS *Challenger* in St Thomas, West Indies, March 1873. (Archives of the Natural

History Museum, London). Right. SMS *Gazelle.* (Archives of Marineschule Mürwik, Germany).

355

Challenger was a Pearl class corvette[7] – a class described by Winfield (2014) as follows

"These "open battery" corvettes mounted all their guns on an exposed weather deck but as in 18th-century frigates there was a complete unarmed deck below ….. this provided plenty of berthing space and led to the description of the ships as troop
360   frigates because they could transport soldiers when required"

Thus, it seems *Challenger* was ideally suited for modification for her new role.

Gazelle was an Arcona Class frigate described at the beginning of Chapter II of (Hydrographisches
365   Amt, 1885) as follows

"S.M.S. "*Gazelle*", although not one of the very latest ships of the Imperial Navy, was one of the best and most suitable vehicles for the purposes of the expedition in terms of space and size, in terms of facilities and sea characteristics. Built entirely from wood, it belonged to the class of "covered corvettes", now called cruiser frigates,
370   and as such offered a spacious, airy and light deck below the upper deck, the battery, which was intended for the placement of the guns. Being protected from sun and rain it was, suitable for scientific work, for setting up work and living rooms".

Both ships required modification to prepare them for their multi-year voyages and for the changes from
375   their normal naval duties. As noted, their standard pre-expedition armaments were reduced, more substantially in the case of *Challenger*, perhaps reflecting the exhortation in *Gazelle*'s first sailing orders that she *"must retain the character of a warship"*.

The complements of both ships were reduced, but the *Gazelle*'s from a much higher original figure
380   suggesting *Gazelle* would have been much more crowded.

	HMS Challenger	**SMS Gazelle**
Vessel type	Pearl class corvette [8] Second of class	Arcona class covered frigate [9] Second of class
Date Launched/Commissioned	Chatham, Feb 13 1858/ May 6 1861	Danzig (Gdansk), Dec 19 1859 / Kiel, May 15 1862
Length (LOA)/ Beam/Draught (m)	68.7 / 12.3 / 5.7	72.0 / 13.0 / 6.5
Displacement	2,137 tons	2,391 tons
Rig	Full rig, 3 mast 1,500m^2	Full rig, 3 mast, 2,200m^2
Propulsion[10]	Two cylinder trunk engine 1450 HP 2 blade screw	Single expansion steam engine 1320 HP 2 blade screw
Range/speed under steam	???@10.7kts	1,150 nm @11kts
Complement as commissioned:	290	390

[7] A Frigate was defined as a ship with a single gun deck immediately below the main deck. A corvette was of similar size but the guns were mounted on the main deck.
[8] Winfield, 2014
[9] https://second.wiki/wiki/arcona-klasse Last access 6 June 2022
[10] Sennett and Oram, 1899

Expedition initial complement	233 (175 naval personnel, 50 boys[11], 6 scientists, 1 lab assistant, 1 domestic servant) [12]	338 (officers , crew and 1 scientist)
Armament as commissioned:	2 x 8" 1 x 68lb (10")	28 x 68 pounder
Expedition armament	2x 68lb	8

Table 1: The principal characteristics of HMS *Challenger* and SMS *Gazelle*

385

4.1 The commanding officers

The Captains of both ships were experienced naval officers. George Nares, the sixth child of a naval officer, was 41 years of age when *Challenge*r sailed. He already had experience of expedition work,

390   having sailed as second mate aboard HMS *Resolute* on the 1852-4 Arctic search for the missing Franklin expedition. Prior to his appointment to *Challenger* he had served for 5 years as a surveyor on the east coast of Australia and later in the Mediterranean. He was promoted to the rank of Captain in 1869 and his appointment to the command of HMS *Challenger* followed his involvement in oceanography in the Gulf of Suez and in the Straits of Gibraltar (Carpenter, 1870).[13]

395

He, together with Lieutenant Pelham Aldrich, left *Challenger* when she reached Hong Kong in December1874 so that he could take up his appointment to command the British Arctic Expedition (1875/6) aboard HMSs *Discovery* and *Alert*. Pelham Aldrich was replaced in Hong Kong by Lieutenant Carpenter.

400

Nares' successor aboard *Challenger* was 44 year old Captain Frank Tourle Thomson, who had not had the expeditionary experience of Nares but who, nonetheless. went on to co-author many of the *Challenger* narrative reports. He became commander of the Royal Yacht *Victoria and Albert* from May 1877 until October 1884, the year in which he died aged 54.

405

---

[11] The Royal Navy accepted boy sailors with a minimum age of 15. See Smith, 2021
[12] Rice (2000)
[13] Nares' 9 year old son, William Grant Nares, embarked on the voyage. Challenger carried a schoolmaster, Adam Ebbels, to help with the crew's education but he died at sea and was buried in Bermuda. His replacement joined in Simonstown. William returned to the UK from South Africa.

Figure 3: The Commanders of the round-the word voyages Left Kapitan zur See von Schleinitz (photo dated 1890) (http://www.tripota.uni-trier.de/single_picture.php?signatur=385_1275 Last access 9 Apr 2022) Right. George Nares (1872-4). (Archives of the National Portrait Gallery, London), Francis Tourle Thomson (1874-6). (Courtesy of Mary Evans Picture Library).

The captain of SMS *Gazelle*, Georg von Schleinitz [14] had joined the Prussian Navy in 1845 at the age of eleven. He had experience of working far from Europe as a flag lieutenant on the Prussian expedition to China, Japan and Siam between 1860 and 1862. In 1864 he was first officer on the covered corvette *Arcona,* sister ship of the *Gazelle*.

In 1869 von Schleinitz became a corvette captain on *Arcona* and in summer 1874 he took command of *Gazelle*.

The fact that both Nares and von Schleinitz were naval surveyors led to them both being at the ceremony to open the Suez canal on November 17th, 1869; von Schleinitz as Commander of the *Arcona*, Nares as commander of the HMS *Newport*, a vessel that was involved in hydrographic surveying in the Mediterranean.

At the opening, Nares, contrived to make HMS *Newport* the first ship to transit the canal from North to South, ahead of the intended first vessel, the French Imperial yacht *l'Aigle*, carrying Empress Eugenie. Though officially reprimanded for this breach of protocol, Nares undoubtedly gained some kudos from this manoeuvre and it seems unlikely that von Schleinitz would have been unaware of the incident.

---

[14] https://adb.anu.edu.au/biography/schleinitz-georg-gustav-freiherr-von-4542 (Last access 6 June 2022)
 https://second.wiki/wiki/georg_von_schleinitz (Last access 12 Apr 2022)

430

4.2 Ships' officers

Figure 4: A group photograph of the *Challenger*'s Officers and scientists. (Courtesy of the Archives of the Natural History Museum).

Though they were unidentified in the *Challenger* reports they were identified by Rice (1986) as follows

1. Nares, 2. Wyville Thomson, 3. Wild, 4. Murray, 5. Moseley, 6. Willemöes-Suhm, 7. Buchanan, 8. Cdr. Maclear, 9. Lt. Aldrich. 10. Lt. Bromley. 11. Lt. Bethel, 12. Sub-Lt. Balfour, 13. Sub-Lt. Channer, 14. Sub-Lt. Harston, 15. Nav. Sub-Lt. Havergal, 16. Nav. Sub-Lt. Swire, 17. Staff Surgeon Crosbie, 18. Surgeon Maclean, 19. Paymaster Richards, 20. Ass. Paymaster Hynes, 21. Engineer Spry, 22. Ass. Engineer Howlett.

Absent from this photograph were Chief Engineer James Ferguson, Engineer Allen, Sub Lt. Sloggett, Asst. Engineer Abbott.

430

The following are short biographies of some of *Challenger*'s officers.

455   Second in command to Nares and Thomson was Commander John Fiot Lee Pearse Maclear. He was 34 years old and was the second son of Sir Thomas Maclear who in 1833 had been appointed Her Majesty's Astronomer at the Cape of Good Hope. John Maclear joined the navy as a 13 year old cadet, with much of his Naval career being spent in foreign waters. After his return from *Challenger* in 1878 John Maclear married Julia, a daughter of the eminent astronomer Sir John Herschel.

460

Lieutenant Pelham Aldrich, was 28 when he joined *Challenger*. He had joined the Royal Navy as a 15 year-old cadet in 1859 and served aboard ships in the Pacific and Mediterranean before his service in *Challenger*. He left the vessel in Hong Kong to accompany Nares on the British Arctic Expedition on which he led the sled party to Ellesmere Island. His naval career ended with his appointment with rank

465 of Rear Admiral and later Vice Admiral as the Superintendent of Portsmouth Dockyard (1899-1903). He died in 1930 aged 86.

Lieutenant Arthur C B Bromley was born 16 Sept 1847 and entered the Navy as a cadet 1860. He was 25 when he joined Challenger. His career ended (rank of Vice Admiral) in 1905 with him as

470 Superintendent of the dockyard in Malta. He died 25 October 1909[15].

Lieutenant George R Bethell was a Yorkshireman, born in 1849 and was 23 when he joined *Challenger*. Compared with his fellow officers his naval career was short. In 1885, with the rank of Commander he stood for parliament and was elected for the Yorkshire constituency of Holderness a position he held

475 until 1900 when he lost the seat over his views on the government's policy on South Africa. He died in 1919.

Arguably the ship's officer who had the greatest influence on the scientific work of *Challenger* was Thomas Tizard. He had entered the Royal Navy by competitive examination in 1854 (aged 15) and six

480 years later started his career as a surveyor in the Mediterranean and was aboard HMS *Newport* with Nares at the opening of the Suez canal in 1869. He was navigator and chief surveyor aboard *Challenger* and was responsible for the current measurements – he had earlier experience of researching currents in the Straits of Gibraltar. As the obituary in the Geographical Journal (A.M.F., 1924) comments

485 "….his duties involved the closest associations with the leader of the expedition and of the scientific staff in decisions bearing on the carrying out of the objectives of the expedition. From the outset he closely identified himself with every undertaking with which the expedition was concerned. As time went on, the influence he exerted was increasingly apparent…".

490 When Nares left *Challenger* in 1875 it was clear that Tizard who was identified by Wyville Thomson as the "chief of the naval scientific staff" (Wyville Thomson, 1878), had become indispensable to the continuity, and presumably the success, of the voyage's work.

---

[15] Times archive 27 October 1909

After the *Challenger* voyage, the Admiralty seconded him to work with John Murray on the

495 compilation and publication of the narrative and of the oceanographical and hydrographical results. In

1891 he was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society.

Gazelle's officers are listed as follows in Volume 1 of the narrative – their ranks are those while aboard

Gazelle, though many were later promoted.

500

  Captain zur See[16], Baron von Schleinitz as Commandant,

  Lieutenant Captain, Dietert as First Officer.

  Lieutenant Captain, Jeschke as Navigational Officer,

  Lieutenant Captain, Bendemann,

505  Lieutenant zur See, Strauch,

  Lieutenant zur See, Rittmeyer,

  Sub-lieutenant zur See, von Ahlefeld,

  Sub-lieutenant zur See, Wachenhusen,

  Sub-lieutenant zur See, Credner,

510  Sub-lieutenant zur See, Breusing,

  Sub-lieutenant zur See, von Seelhorst,

  Sub-Lieutenant zur See, Zeye,

  Navy medical officer, Dr Naumann,

  Marine assistant doctor, Dr Huesker,

515  Navy underpaid master, Lindenberg,

Their responsibilities for the scientific work were also listed in the narrative of the voyage and the

following are some biographical details.

520 Lieutenant Captain Conrad Dietert (05 Oct 1844 - 15 Sep 1906), was the First Officer under von

Schleinitz but does not appear to have had any scientific responsibilities.

Lieutenant (Rudolf?) Rittmeyer and Sub Lt. Conrad von Seelhorst (5 Apr 1853 – 6 Jul 1930)[17] were

responsible for the meteorological observations and for astronomy. Though it is not specified in the

525 reports, we might speculate that the astronomical work could have involved support of the astronomers

---

[16] The term "zur See" was used in the Imperial German Navy to distinguish the ranks from the equivalent ones in the Army
[17] https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conrad_von_Seelhorst Last access 6 June 2022.

who observed the transit of Venus as well as including any other astronomical phenomena observed
during the voyage (aurora australis, meteor showers), (Sperberg, 2021). The sailing orders also state

530   "In addition to frequent observations of lunar distances, investigations are also to be carried out on the possibility of
successfully using star sights, the eclipses of Jupiter's moon, etc. for the purpose of deriving the geographical
longitude, and this is to be reported on later".

von Seelhorst was invalided out of the Navy in 1878 with a serious lung condition but went on to have
an academic career in agriculture in Göttingen.

535

Captain Lieutenant Jeschke, Lieutenants zur See Breusing and Zeye were responsible for navigation as
it related to surveying, sounding (but not including deep sea sounding), documenting coastlines, sailing
instructions, as well as the astronomical and magnetic observations.

540 Lieutenant Captain Felix von Bendemann (8 Aug 1848 – 31 Oct 1915)[18] and Lieutenant Wachenhusen
were responsible for the oceanographic measurements, such as deep-sea soundings, temperature and
specific gravity measurements, determining the chemical composition of sea water and observations of
currents and tides. Bendemann had been one of the first graduates of the new naval academy in Kiel.

545 Lieutenant Franz Strauch (11 Apr 1846 – 12 Aug 1928)[19] was primarily responsible for the ethnological
work. He had joined the Prussian Navy as a cadet in 1864. His interests in ethnology continued after the
Gazelle voyage and he became a link between the (Imperial) Navy and the Ethnological Museum in
Berlin. The relationship between the Navy and ethnology is revealed in Zimmerman (2001).

550   "The Navy's collecting duties developed from an occasional activity for officers during their leisure time to an
integral part of its operations. In 1874 Bastian persuaded the Navy to order the surveying ship SMS *Gazelle* bound for
the South Pacific, to acquire "everything collectible" from ports of call. A lieutenant Franz Strauch assigned to the
Gazelle did much ethnographic collecting for the museum and developed a lifelong interest in anthropology. He
eventually rose to the rank of Rear-Admiral and acted as a key intermediary between the Navy and the Museum of
555   ethnology."

Zimmerman comments that the close relationship between the Navy and the Museum continued into the
20th century.

560 Lieutenant Hunold von Ahelefeld (5 Mar 1851 – 5 Sept1919)[20] was responsible for gravity
measurements (Pendel Beobachtungen) and for topography. He had joined the Prussian Navy as a cadet

---

[18] https://wp-de.wikideck.com/Felix_von_Bendemann Last access 6 June 2022.
[19] https://second.wiki/wiki/franz_strauch   Last access 6 June 2022.
[20] https://second.wiki/wiki/hunold_von_ahlefeld Last access 6 June 2022.

in 1867 and after his service on *Gazelle* he became involved with naval shipyards before retiring in 1907. In his work he was assisted by Lieutenant Credner.

565 The ship carried two medical officers, Dr. Neumann and Dr. Huesker. Neumann assisted with the botany while Huesker did the geological and anthropological research.

4.3 The scientists who joined the voyages

Much has already been written about the *Challenger's* six scientists (Aitken and Foulc, 2019) and so
570 they will be described only briefly here.

Charles Wyville Thomson was leader of the scientific party and was 42 when *Challenger* sailed. He was a Scot, born in Linlithgow a few miles east of Edinburgh 5 March 1830. He was christened Wyville Thomas Charles Thomson (he appears as Wyville Charles Thomson in the 1881 census records) but
575 apparently changed his name to Charles Wyville Thomson in 1876 when he was knighted. This is the name by which he is now known and which appears in the all the *Challenger* reports.

According to Anonymous (1876), he left school in 1845 and spent the next 3 years studying medicine at Edinburgh University, following in the footsteps of his father, a surgeon with the East India Company.
580 His intense studies affected his health and, as an easier option, in 1850 he began lecturing in botany at the University of Aberdeen which conferred on him a Doctor of Laws (LL.D.) degree. He married Jane Dawson in 1854 and their son Frank was born in 1860. Until he assumed responsibility for the scientific work of HMS *Challenger* in 1872, he had broadened his scientific interests into chemistry, mineralogy, palaeontology and zoology holding academic positions in Cork, Belfast and Edinburgh. His interests
585 also grew in wider educational matters, in the arts and in local politics and law.

Perhaps the spark that led to the *Challenger* voyage lay in a discussion between Wyville Thomson and Carpenter in 1868 when Wyville Thomson suggested that the deep-sea floor would be a rich hunting ground for naturalists. He urged Carpenter to use his influence to mount an expedition and this he did
590 in a letter to the President of the Royal Society as documented in Wyville Thomson, 1873.

John Young Buchanan was the expedition's chemist and took responsibility also for the physical measurements (water temperature and specific gravity) except those such as meteorological measurements, that were the responsibility of the ship's officers. He was also an accomplished
595 mineralogist. Born, 20 Feb 1844 he was the second son of a well-to-do Glasgow family and was 28 at the start of the voyage. In 1863 he graduated with an arts degree from Glasgow University before

studying chemistry in Germany at Marburg, Bonn and then Leipzig before moving to Paris. He returned to Scotland around 1870 as assistant to the Professor of Chemistry at the University of Edinburgh, Alexander Crum Brown. So, he came to the *Challenger* expedition as someone who was already widely

600   travelled and with eclectic interests.

Henry Nottidge Moseley was born in Wandsworth in South London, 14th November 1844 and so was 28 when he joined *Challenger*. The most complete description of his life is to be found in a memoir by the zoologist Gilbert Bourne (Bourne, 1892) as an introduction to Moseley's narrative of the *Challenger*

605   voyage. His father, also Henry, as well as being a clergyman, was an eminent mathematician and a fellow of the Royal Society. Henry N. was educated at Harrow School and then at Exeter College, Oxford, (1864-8) graduating with a First Class degree in Natural Sciences, though the initial intention had been for him study mathematics or classics. The move to science was prompted by his interest in natural history as a hobby that started when he was at school and continued in Oxford. After graduation

610   he was awarded a travelling fellowship that took him in 1868 to work in Vienna. He then enrolled as a medical student in London but returned to the continent in 1871 to work in Leipzig. On his return to London in autumn that year he was invited to join the British Government's Eclipse Expedition to Ceylon (Sri Lanka), (total solar eclipse, 12 December), on which he acted both as a naturalist and took part in the astronomical observations[21]. So, by the time he was selected to join *Challenger,* he was

615   already well travelled and had wide scientific interests and experience. His scientific character is perhaps revealed by this comment by Tizard.

620   "Whenever they arrived at a new place Moseley would ask his colleagues what they intended to work at so that he might undertake what they did not care for. His anxiety was that the whole ground should be covered, and he was willing to leave all the more apparently interesting work to others reserving for himself what they rejected. It came about that he did more work than anybody else on the expedition though his friend von Willemoes-Suhm might have run close had he survived."

These were the three, British-born, members of the scientific party. All were from relatively affluent

625   backgrounds.

John Murray was born on 3 March 1841 in Cobourg, now in Ontario, Canada. He was the second son of an accountant who had emigrated to Canada in 1834. After school and college in Cobourg he returned to Scotland aged 17 to continue his education enrolling to read medicine at Edinburgh University in

630   1864. Much of his character is revealed in obituary notice by George Agassiz, the eldest son of the famous oceanographer Alexander. (Agassiz, 1917), who comments that Murray was

---

[21] Coincidentally JFLP Maclear was also a member of the 1871 Eclipse expedition perhaps an additional influence on Moseley's selection for the *Challenger* voyage. (Anonymous, 1872).

"Impatient of dogmatic authority, he was somewhat scornful of inherited tradition, and treated his prescribed studies with a cheerful lack of consideration. For even in those days, he desired to find out things for himself, and delve for knowledge independently".

635

He gained his scientific knowledge through contact with the "small group of scientific men who made Edinburgh famous" a circle that expanded to include the writer Robert Louis Stevenson. Armed with that broad and inquisitive nature, but without a degree, in 1868 he embarked as surgeon on the Scottish

640 whaling ship *Jan Mayen* on a 7 month voyage to the Arctic. On his return he completed his studies in geology at Edinburg University.

In 1872 he was recruited by Wyville Thomson to collect and prepare the scientific equipment for the *Challenger* voyage.

645

Rudolf von Willemoes-Suhm at age 25 was the youngest of the scientific party, born in Glückstadt, Schleswig Holstein, then part of Prussia, on Sept 11th 1847. His expertise had been gained entirely at German universities.

650 In 1872 he joined the Danish *Phoenix* expedition studying vertebrates and polychaetes around the Faroe Islands. On its return journey the ship called at Edinburgh on 10 October 1872 and on the 11th Willemoes-Suhm was invited to dinner by Wyville Thomson and his wife. Clearly impressed, Wyville Thomson said that if Thomas Huxley could persuade the Admiralty, then Willemoes-Suhm could join the *Challenger* expedition. Willemoes-Suhm took the train to London and met Huxley. By the 20th

655 October he received the confirmatory telegram and on the 19th November was aboard Challenger in Sheerness. (von Willemoes-Suhm, 1877). This serendipitous and rapid engagement suggests that Willemoes-Suhm was a very impressive young man.

The oldest member of the scientific party was Jean Jacques Wild, who was a Swiss national born in

660 Zurich in 1828 and who later anglicised his name to John James Wild. There is much less known about the pre-*Challenger* life of Wild than about the other civilian members of the party. It is thought that Wyville Thomson may have encountered Wild in Belfast.

Gazelle carried only one specialist civilian scientist apart from the astronomers who were, in effect,

665 passengers from Kiel to Kerguelen and Mauritius, and so virtually all the scientific work of the voyage was carried out by serving naval officers under the leadership of von Schleinitz.

That single scientist was the 29 year-old zoologist Théophil Studer, (27 Nov 1845 – 12 Feb 1922)[22], a
Swiss ornithologist and the curator of the zoological collections and later professor at the Natural
670   History Museum in Berne. He took part in the scientific and zoological work during the entire trip
though he had not joined the vessel with this intention. He had meant to take part in operations as a
member of the transit of Venus expedition studying the fauna and flora of Kerguelen. However, from
the beginning of the journey he showed such great expertise in zoological research that it was thought
essential that he remain on board. This was approved by "a higher authority", (presumably the German
675   Admiralty), and the University of Bern and he remained on board until the end of the voyage.

He went on to publish reports on Ophiuroidea and on the isopods and on the crustaceans collected
between the west coast of Africa and the Cape of Good Hope.

680   The ship's doctor Friedrich Carl Naumann[23] (born 1841) had trained in medicine and natural sciences at
the universities of Berlin and Heidelberg before joining the (Prussian) Navy as a Fleet Surgeon. Before
joining the *Gazelle* he had been on the 1869-71 voyage of the SMS *Medusa*[24], Gazelle's sister ship, to
South America and into the Pacific where he made botanical collections mainly in Japan and Hong
Kong. He was responsible for the botanical collections from *Gazelle*. The ship's assistant doctor Carl
685   Huesker, about whom little is known, helped with the zoological, botanical geological and
anthropological research.

Though *Challenger* carried a party of expert scientists, since she was a survey vessel the officers were
very much involved in making the scientific observations and indeed Thomson was involved in the
690   preparation and publication of the narrative reports of the expedition.

We have already examined Nares' role; in addition Aldrich, Bromley, Bethell and Carpenter had
responsibility for sounding, seabed sampling and temperature measurements. The other officers who
would have been concerned with the scientific work were: Maclear who had responsibility for the
695   magnetic observations which included intercalibrations with shore stations in South Africa and Hong
Kong, Bromley who took a particular interest in meteorology and maintained a personal log. Tizard
made a particular study of the meteorology of Japan quite independent of the Challenger's objectives.
(Tizard, 1876).

---

[22] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Th%C3%A9ophile_Rudolphe_Studer Last access 6 June 2022.
[23] https://plants.jstor.org/stable/10.5555/al.ap.person.bm000046495 Last access 6 June 2022.
[24] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SMS_Medusa_(1864) Last access 6 June 2022.

700   Challenger's scientific activities were thus a true joint enterprise between officers and civilian scientists.

5 The voyages, routes and ports

Though both vessels circumnavigated the earth, their tracks, as shown in Figure 1, were markedly

705   different. The routes and port calls were dictated both by scientific objectives, and in the case of *Gazelle*
by information received in preliminary reports from *Challenger*. A major logistical consideration for
both ships was that regular calls at suitable ports were needed to replenish coal supplies and provisions.
There were also timing constraints, most noticeable for *Gazelle* set by the time of the transit of Venus
(November 1874) and for both vessels to avoid high southern latitudes in winter.

710

5.1 Scientific considerations

We can compare the routes in terms of their ability to achieve the following broad objectives
      • revealing the deep-sea bathymetry and distribution of sea bed types in support of cable routing,

715   • sampling a wide variety of ocean circulation regimes,
      • sampling deep water and shelf seas biology,
      • studying the ethnology, flora, fauna and geology of rarely-visited islands

The first of these could only be addressed in the most general of sense since there is no way that a few

720   hundred soundings can define global-scale bathymetry. In terms of potential cable routes, only
Challenger's routes across the North and South Atlantic and North Pacific could be said to approach
studying potential routes. The Pacific crossing, however, fails to cover the approach to the North
American continent. Despite these shortcomings both ships' collection of seabed samples greatly
improved knowledge of the types of deep-sea sediments and their geographical and depth distributions;

725   information relevant to the selection of cable routes.

Most of *Challenger*'s North Atlantic stations were occupied during what was regarded as a training and
trials phase. But in terms of exploration of the earth's major current systems they added several
crossings of the Gulf Stream and North Atlantic current. *Challenger* also crossed the East Australia

730   Current, the Kuroshio and the Brazil Current. *Gazelle* made very few observations in western boundary
regions.

Both vessels covered a wide range of latitudes, though neither entered the northern hemisphere subpolar
regions. *Challenger* crossed the major structures of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and occupied its

735   southernmost station on 14th February 1874 at 65° 42'S 79° 49'E (1½ miles from the edge of the pack
        ice). *Gazelle,* by contrast, ventured no further than about 52°S.

        It should be remembered that neither vessel occupied stations close enough together to reveal the sharp
        frontal structures that we now know to characterise these major current systems. Remarkably, however,
740   the *Gazelle* measured surface temperature and salinity (actually specific gravity) every 2 hours
        throughout the voyage. These observations are, surprisingly, tabulated in the meteorology report (Teil
        5), (Annex 2) but no positions are recorded corresponding with these measurements and they have
        never been analysed.

745   The Pacific sectors of the two voyages were markedly different. *Challenger* spending a significant time
        in the western Pacific between Australia and Japan crossing the equator three times. *Gazelle* entered the
        Pacific north of Australia and spend over 100 days surveying around New Guinea and the Bismarck
        Archipelago. The motivation for this was almost certainly with a view to assessing the
        commercial/colonial potential of the area. (Overlack, 1973, Ohff, 2008, 2015). After visiting Brisbane
750   and Auckland and making a northward excursion to Fiji, Tonga and Samoa, *Gazelle* headed across the
        South Pacific to the Straits of Magellan. *Challenger* by contrast headed east from Japan on approx.
        35°N and then southwards via the what the *Challenger* reports refer to as the Sandwich Islands, the
        name given to the islands by Captain Cook but which was gradually being replaced by the name Hawaii
        particularly after the signing of the Reciprocity Agreement with the USA in January 1875 six months
755   before *Challenger* arrived.

        After their passages through the Straits of Magellan, both vessels called at Montevideo, as was
        mentioned in the Introduction, and then returned to Europe by different routes - *Challenger* taking 88
        days to reach Portsmouth and *Gazelle* 55 days to Plymouth.

760

        Both ships appear to have deviated substantially from the route that was originally intended. For
        Challenger this occurred in the North Pacific. Rather than crossing from Japan to Vancouver Island and
        studying the California Current on her way to Cape Horn, *Challenger* headed to the Sandwich Islands
        (Hawaii) and thence to Valparaiso via Tahiti and Juan Fernandez. There are tantalising comments in Joe
765   Matkin's letters that relate to this sector of the voyage. On p25 of "At sea with the Scientifics" he
        remarks

            "We are to have warm clothing sent out to the Cape of Good Hope in a year's time and it will be issued before going
            down amongst the ice, or rather lent, for it will be taken away as soon as we reach the latitude of Melbourne and

770   again issued at Petrapolowski (Petrapavlovsk) before going through the Bering Straits. We are also to have extra pay
        in the cold weather".

On page 122 he comments again about the voyage in the northern Pacific

        " ….on to Yodo (Osaka) Japan after which the Kuril Islands and Petrapolopsky (Petrapavlovsk) the cold capital of
775   Kamchatka, thence Aleutian islands, Bering Straits and down to Vancouver about May 1875 after which we begin
        again".

One wonders whether this change of plan was brought about by the replacement of Nares, who had

experience of high latitudes, in Hong Kong.

780

For *Gazelle* the departure from the plan concerned the high southern latitudes. There are clear

indications, in *Gazelle*'s sailing orders that observations were planned far to the south. The first set

states

785   "The bank or ridge in the ocean, which according to the latest investigations of the "*Challenger*" apparently connects
        the Kerguelen and MacDonald[25] Islands, should be examined more closely, particularly temperature conditions and
        currents" and "If ice is suspected, or if S.M.S. "*Gazelle*" is near icebergs, frequent and precise determinations of
        salinity and temperature must be made".

790   Indeed, the sailing instructions suggest that it was intended that the vessel might approach the Antarctic

        continent.

        "The course is to be taken in such a way that SMS "*Gazelle*" does not occupy similar positions to those of the
        "*Challenger*", namely in the pack ice near the presumed Termination Land[26], but rather in the vicinity of Enderby'[27]
795   or Kemp's Land can advance. Under all circumstances, however, the S.M.S. "*Gazelle*" command must ensure that the
        ship does not get caught in pack ice or even get trapped in it, even if only for a while".

In the second set, received in Cape Town, these refences to the Southern Ocean are replaced with

800   "….leaving the port of Mauritius around the middle of March 1875, you have to endeavor to reach the parallel of
        latitude of 30° by the shortest route in order, following the same, to cross the Indian Ocean to the east"

Both vessels left a geographical legacy in the naming of features[28]; *Challenger* most notably for the
Challenger Deep in the Marianas Trench, *Gazelle* particularly around New Guinea with the naming of
805   the Gazelle peninsular and the von Schleinitz range of mountains in New Ireland and the later-named
Gazelle Fracture Zone in the South Indian Ocean.

5.2 Logistics and statistics

Though the two vessels circumnavigated the world and made similar observations, the voyages differed

810   in many respects, most notably in their durations. *Challenger* took 1250 days from 21 December 1872

---

[25] MacDonald Island lies at 53°S
[26] Part of Wilke's Land in East Antarctica.
[27] Coasts at around 67° 30'S
[28] https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/gazetteer/ Last access 6 June 2022.

to 24 May 1876, *Gazelle* 678 days, 21 June 1874 to 28 May 1876. The distances sailed were markedly different too, *Challenger* 68,590 nm and *Gazelle* approximately 36,000 nm. Though the two ships are noted for sailing around the globe, they spent a great deal of time in port (to replenish supplies, including coal to send and receive mail to send samples and equipment home and to undergo repairs).

815   *Challenger* spent 522 days in port or exploring islands and land masses. The figure for *Gazelle* was 297 days. Since a central element of both voyages was to explore rarely-visited regions, many of the port calls were in places that could provide little support for the ships or respite for the officers and crew. (Annex 1).

820   It is noteworthy that while *Challenger* spent a month or more in Simonstown (South Africa), in Sydney (Australia), in Hong Kong and in Yokohama, the *Gazelle* only had extended stays around Kerguelen in the inhospitable sub-Antarctic and exploring New Guinea and its surrounding islands. These differences are graphically summarised in Figure 5 and their implications will be explored more fully in section 7.

825

Figure 5: Time spent in ports and periods of extensive surveys (grey colouring, sequence reads clockwise) compared with time at sea (coloured sectors, Atlantic (blue), Indian/Southern (yellow), Pacific (brown). Format follows that used by Aitken and Foulc (2019). Dates in port are in Annex 1.

6 The balance between conservatism and innovation

830

The planning of these two multi-year voyages took place in a time of rapid scientific and technological advances and it might have seemed natural for the most recent technological devices to be employed. Yet, a balance had to be struck between using methods and instrumentation that were well-tried, robust and so could be easily maintained without access to specialist skills, and those that were innovative and

835 which might give new insights. For both expeditions the emphasis seems to have been on reliability. This was perhaps especially true of *Gazelle* which carried only one scientist.

6.1 Rope vs wire

840 The most obvious generic technology that could have been used was multistrand wire rope rather than traditional hemp sounding and dredging lines. Multistrand wire rope had been increasingly used in bridge building and mining since the mid 19th century and was also being used in submarine telegraph cables. However, use at sea for the deployment and recovery of equipment where it would have to be repeatedly wound on and off winches and would be subject to corrosion would have been a high risk

845 and therefore *Challenger* and *Gazelle* both opted to retain hemp line. *Challenger* started the voyage with 20,000 fms.[29] of No 1 sounding line and took on board a further 20,000 fms. A total of 26,000 fms were used. 64,000 fms. of No 2 sounding line were embarked and 34,000 fms. expended.

The Gazelle report remarks that

850

" The sounding lines delivered by the English shipyard had a length of 10,000 English fathoms and were made from Italian hemp, three-strand, cable lay, 27 yarns. Their circumference was 1 inch. (25.4 mm), the breaking load dry 792, wet 702 kg. These lines were used exclusively during the entire voyage to the deep-sea explorations, without ever breaking. In three cases the line brought 125 kilograms of sounding weights back to the surface from depths of more

855 than 4500 meters when the sounding device had not worked when it hit the bottom. The lines came on board in lengths of 1000 fathoms spooled on small drums and were split in lengths of 125 fathoms with double short splices (cut-splice). On board a drum for 4000 fathoms was made and the line held on this ready for use. Initially it was intended to mark the line in metres in order to indicate the depths in the measure prescribed in the Imperial Navy; however, this was abandoned and the English fathom measure, according to which the depths are given in most of the

860 existing nautical charts, was retained. The line was marked accordingly from 25 to 25(?) fathoms. As marks for 100 fathoms each, strips of canvas that protruded from the line and showed a consecutive number applied with oil paint were found to be suitable for the purpose. The 25 and 75 fathom marks were marked with blue, the 50 fathom marks with red, with flag cloth tucked into the rope stands.".

865 Ritchie, (2000), comments that the *Challenger* used tried and trusted observation methods with soundings made using rope and the so-called timed methods[30].

---

[29] 1 fathom (fm) = 6 ft = 1.8288 metre
[30] The time taken for each 25 fm. length of line to run out in free-fall with the sounder weight taking it towards the seabed. When the rate of descent suddenly slowed it indicated that the sounder had hit the bottom. When hauled in until an increase in tension was felt, the depth could be recorded.

6.2 Photography

870   The *Challenger* expedition was the first to carry an official photographer. In fact, there were three

photographers at various times, Caleb Newbold, Frederick Hodgeson and Jesse Lay. The expedition's

photographs were originally catalogued by John Horsburgh, (1885). There is a more recent catalogue of

the over 800 photographs (Brunton, 1994). The original plates and prints are now widely scattered and

Brunton lists 10 persons or institutions as owners. The majority of these photographs are landscapes, a

875   significant number would be classified as ethnological and some are of groups of scientists, officers,

crew or visitors. None show the interior of the vessel nor the conduct of its seagoing science activities.

This might well be due, in the former case to the long exposure times needed which would have

required the subjects to pose, or to the inadequate lighting below decks and inappropriate camera lenses.

An extensive discussion of the use of photography aboard Challenger is given by Jones, (2019).

880

Photographs we also taken on the Gazelle expedition but the report recounts a sad outcome.

885   "(Privy Councilor HARTMANN) not only took on in the most courteous manner, the processing of the S.M.S. Gazelle's collected anthropological material, which work is set down in a special appendix. He also succeeded, in processing various photographic recordings of an anthropological and ethnological nature made on the trip. This was despite them being damaged due to the adverse climatic and weather conditions of the tropics and at sea where they had suffered so much from the long storage, that they no longer appeared viable for reproduction. The imperfect plates supplemented the areas mentioned with the help of his excellent knowledge - to produce drawings which made it possible to reproduce them in this work".

890

There are many photographs of the astronomical work on Kerguelen (Duerbeck, 2004) and so it seems

likely that those plates were shipped back to Germany from Mauritius and so avoided the damage

reported above.

895 **6.3 Oceanographic equipment**

The equipment used to make oceanographic observations on the two vessels (water sampling bottles, deep sea thermometers, dredges, sounding weights) was virtually identical and represented the state of the art in instrumentation at the time as used and refined by Wyville Thomson and Carpenter. Indeed, *Gazelle* called in Plymouth on her outward voyage to collect much of her scientific equipment.

"S.M.S. *Gazelle* was equipped with the two sounding devices most commonly used for deep-sea sounding, the BAILLIE-Sounder and the HYDRA-Sounder, and three of the former type and one of the latter. However, the HYDRA-Sounder was only used once, and since it did not work properly, all further measurements at great depths were carried out with the Baillie apparatus. The sounding equipment as well as the deep thermometer were all obtained from England, as there was no experience with this in Germany. With the kind co-operation of the Hydrographic Office in London, all the sounding devices were provided by the Royal Shipyard Chatham and delivered to the "Gazelle" when she was in Plymouth".

The rigging and means of deployment of the equipment were also virtually identical to those used on *Challenger* as is demonstrated in Figure 6 which shows the elastic accumulator used as a shock-absorber.

Figure 6: Reproduction of Figure 3 (page 16) of the *Gazelle* narrative showing the deployment of a Baillie sounder.

Perhaps the most innovative technique used on either vessel was an attempt on *Gazelle* to measure the height and period of waves by making measurements of the ship's rise and fall using a very sensitive
930 aneroid barometer. This activity is described briefly in Volume 2 of Hydrographisches Amt (1888) but, unsurprisingly, was not successful. (A 1m vertical displacement of the ship would have resulted in a pressure change of only 11 pascals (0.11mb). This is comparable with the pressure variations that would be caused by turbulent windflow around the ship and these would have been hard to separate

from changes caused by waves). The problem of recording sea waves from a ship was not solved until

935 the development of the shipborne wave recorder by Tucker (1952) who refers to the failed attempt by

Gazelle.

In some ways the deep-sea thermometers used on both voyages were experimental in that their

performance and ability to faithfully record the temperature profiles, particularly when the vertical

940 variations were not monotonic, was not fully understood. *Challenger* embarked 35 "protected"

thermometers to which a further 69 were added at intermediate ports and 48 "expended"- presumably

lost or broken. The vast majority were the double bulb version of Six's thermometer known as the

Miller-Casella thermometer which recorded maximum and minimum temperatures. The true reversing

thermometer was being developed by Negretti and Zambra at the time of the *Challenger* voyage and

945 several were sent to the ship for comparison with the Miller-Casellas, though:

"Several thermometers for use in the apparatus were forwarded from time to time a greater number were found broken when they reached the ship, owing either to imperfect packing or negligence in the transport, but a sufficient number arrived in safety to admit of their having a fair trial"

950
Gazelle had 22 Miller-Casella thermometers and one Negretti-Zambra presumably sourced as were

Challenger's from Chatham and loaded in Plymouth. The subsequent controversies about the

interpretation of the temperature measurements are summarised in Deacon (1971).

955 By the 1870s the rapid spread of the submarine telegraph cable network had stimulated interest in using

electrical apparatus in the ocean the leading innovator in this field was the Anglo-German William

(Carl Wilhelm) Siemens (1823-1883, born in Germany but moved to London in 1843), (Thurston,

1884). He developed the bathometer: effectively the forerunner of the Conductivity-Temperature-Depth

(CTD) probes that started to replace the reversing thermometers in the 1960s. The bathometer measured

960 temperature using a sensor in one arm of a Wheatstone bridge and one of the instruments was used on

Challenger and its comparison with thermometers reported. The bathometer was used more extensively

by Alexander Agassiz aboard the USS *Blake* in 1881 and reported by Siemens, (1882). The *Challenger*

instrument's performance was reported (Narrative, Vol 1 Part 1) as follows:

965 "Several more or less successful observations were made with this instrument during the cruise, which agreed fairly well with those made by the protected thermometers. No permanent place was fitted for the galvanometer or apparatus, and in consequence continuous and careful observations were not made. When accurate temperature observations are required from intermediate depths, this instrument is especially valuable, and it will in all probability be extensively used in future deep-sea investigations."

970

This prescient observation might well be seen as the start point for modern-day electronic ocean science. *Challenger* also carried two other experimental devices one, designed by Siemens, measured the depth to which light penetrated by exposing light-sensitive paper for a fixed length of time. The second, Buchanan's piezometer sought to separate the effects of pressure and temperature (Rice, 1970).

975   Neither of these devices was used routinely.

Finally, there was one technology which arrived just too late to be employed aboard *Challenger* and *Gazelle.* This was the sounding machine developed by Sir William Thomson (later Lord Kelvin). A prototype was provided to the British Admiralty for use on the *Challenger* expedition but was declined

980   as not being sufficiently reliable for use on such a long voyage. However, a version of the Kelvin machine modified by the Berlin instrument maker Carl Bamberg, was being used aboard USS *Tuscarora* in the North Pacific in 1874 (Theberge, 2014) and the fact that these 483 soundings had been made was a factor in the change of *Challenger*'s route across the north Pacific. Spry (1876) states:

985   "Last year (1874) the United States government dispatched the steam vessel *Tuscarora* on a deep sea sounding cruise between San Francisco, the Sandwich Islands and the coast of Japan, with instructions on their return route to complete a line of soundings from Yokohama extending in a great circle to the north, passing along the islands of the Aleutian group and so towards Puget Sound with a view to finding a practical cable route across. The course therefore selected by us was one intermediate between these two (through the parallel of 35°N latitude) until reaching

990   155°West longitude".

The fact that Tuscarora made more soundings in a few months than *Challenger* made in almost 4 years shows the advantages of a Kelvin-type sounding machine and use of such a machine might have saved the life of the seaman who was washed overboard and lost while taking soundings as *Challenger*

995   approached Wellington, NZ in rough weather. Arguably had the *Challenger* and *Gazelle* voyages been a few years later, both might have used sounding machines.

6.4 Divergence of scientific foci

1000   Though the core scientific observations of *Challenger* and *Gazelle* were similar, in other respects there were distinct differences, that might be accounted for largely by *Challenger* carrying a team of scientists while *Gazelle* carried naval officers and surveyors. Thus the major focus of *Challenger* was marine biology and chemistry driven by the scientists on board. *Gazelle* made similar measurements of seawater properties and collected biological and geological samples for later analysis but also made

1005   extensive geophysical (gravity and geomagnetic) measurements better aligned to the interests and experience of the naval surveyors she carried. It is interesting to note that the *Gazelle*'s magnetic equipment was carefully calibrated in Berlin before the voyage and that the sailing orders include encouragement to compare them with shore-based observatories at the Cape of Good Hope and

Mauritius and with the site established on Kerguelen. Such an intercalibration is now well-recognised as

1010 good scientific practice.

7 Health and safety

Nineteenth century ships we not safe places. Ships' companies on vessels such as *Challenger* and

1015 *Gazelle* had to deal with both the hazards of working aloft to set and furl the sails as well as managing

the steam engines, both those for propulsion and the donkey engines used to provide mechanical

assistance on deck. The crews included a significant number of young cadets (boys) in their mid-teens

with little experience. Warships were traditionally crowded and though they carried doctors (surgeons)

medical care and the understanding of disease was rudimentary by present day standards. The food,

1020 without refrigeration was similarly basic and monotonous. The two voyages discussed here covered a

wide range of climatic conditions ranging from the tropics to the Southern Ocean and spent long periods

far from land.

However, with the advent of steam engines came freshwater evaporated from seawater thus freeing

1025 vessels from the vagaries and hazards of water supplied from shore. Though the water may have been

safer, it was not popular with *Challenger*'s crew. Matkin comments (Rehbock P32)

> "A good many of the men complaining of the water which is condensed from the sea at night & drank(sic) the next
> day & is scarcely cool. I felt ill myself the other day but have improved by qualifying the water with a little Rum or

1030 > Lime juice".

The *Challenger* health record is covered in Appendix IV to the Narrative (Tizard, 1885) by Fleet-

Surgeon George Maclean, R.N. The opening lines convey the fact that ill health was not regarded as an

issue.

1035

> "The medical history of the *Challenger* expedition is, fortunately perhaps, of little interest, considering the rapid
> variations of climate experienced, the large proportion of time spent at sea, and the trying nature of the seamens'
> work. The health of the ship's company during the commission of nearly 4 years must be regarded as exceptionally
> good, and will probably compare favourably with that of any ordinary cruiser on any of the foreign stations".

1040

Scurvy was entirely absent and this was attributed to the diet, the issuing of lime juice[31] (Smith, 2018)

and, according to Maclean, the fact that

> "the duration of the passages was limited and was capable of being calculated with strict accuracy, owing to the use of

1045 > steam."

---

[31] Ironically, the British Antarctic Expedition (1875-6) which Nares led, suffered badly from scurvy. They chose not to carry
lime juice because of its weight and the fuel needed to thaw it. https://api.parliament.uk/historic-
hansard/commons/1877/jun/18/navy-report-of-the-arctic-committee Last access 6 June 2022.

This statement is at odds with Buchanan (1919)'s comment on page 36 that

1050 "Her screw propeller could be hoisted up out of the water. This was a great convenience because all the passage was made under sail. The whole amount of coal which she could carry was very little more than that required for manoeuvring the ship at the sounding and dredging stations".

The summary of the losses and illnesses states that there were on average 240 men on board and 7
deaths, 2 due to natural causes, three by violence (two by drowning and a single fatality to a seaman
1055 when a dredging rope broke) and 2 by poisoning. Eleven were invalided, and 15 sent to hospital. The
only other losses of the ship's company were due to desertions

".…. .for which the attractions of the Australian ports visited were chiefly responsible."

1060 The diseases encountered were enteric fever (typhoid, 2 cases), yellow fever (1 case), malaria (28 cases,
all comparatively mild), erysipelas (the single fatal case of this bacteriological skin disease that claimed
the life of Willemoes-Suhm), 10 cases of syphilis and six of phthisis (pulmonary tuberculosis). Three
men suffered from heart disease, five from bronchitis, two had pneumonia and there were many cases of
catarrh.
1065

The health of the participants in the *Gazelle* voyage has been summarised by Hartmann (1995) but there
are other glimpses of medical issues.

Chapter 14 of the *Gazelle* narrative starts sombrely and continues to recount the vessel's stay in
1070 Brisbane beginning 26 September 1875.

"Unfortunately, the *Gazelle*'s stay in Brisbane was significantly longer than intended due to an epidemic of illness among the crew.

1075 Under the influence of the hot climate and the long period eating only ship's rations[32] coupled with the exertions of constantly sailing the ship, chopping down and collecting wood the ship's company were repeatedly sick with tropical and typhoid fevers, dysentery and scurvy. Infections occurred, and in the short period from the beginning of July[33] to the end of September, unfortunately we mourned the death of five people.

1080 Later the number of sick people increased to 50 or so with up to 22 fever patients. Typhoid gradually assumed an epidemic character leading the Health Authority in Brisbane to quarantine the ship as soon as it arrived. As a result, the supply of the ship with coal, water and provisions became even more cumbersome and time-consuming. Additionally, since coal was not available in Brisbane, it had to be brought in from a distant pit.

---

[32] Since leaving Mauritius on March 15th the vessel had been in significant ports for only 23 out of 195 days; 13 in Koepang (now Kupang in West Timor) and 10 in Amboina (now Ambon in Indonesia's Kupang State). Both were then Dutch colonies.
[33] She was then surveying north of New Guinea

1085   On October 7, the ship went to the quarantine station at Peel Island, where all those suffering from fever, insofar as they were not yet convalescent, went to the island's barracks. The whole ship, the hammocks, woollen blankets, the sailors' belongings etc. was repeatedly cleaned, disinfected and fumigated and all communication with the infirmary stopped.

1090   Through this and through the administration of a strong diet consisting exclusively of fresh provisions and strengthening drinks, it was possible to stop the spread of the epidemic, so that the ship could already be freed from the quarantine on October 12th. However, in order to be able to re-embark the sick, among whom unfortunately two deaths occurred, without danger, the stay had to be extended until October 20th. During this time regular traffic was maintained between the ship and Brisbane, and the officers and crew were treated with courtesy by both the German

1095   and English population".

The report of a visit to the ship on October 16th, in a local newspaper[34] sheds further light on the situation. The ship was at anchor near the quarantine station on Peel Island and the report comments

1100   "We understand that, owing to the continued illness of some of the seamen of the *Gazelle*, now landed on Peel Island, it is probable that the vessel may remain in our waters for some days to come".

The report from the Peel Island quarantine station dated January 1, 1876, lists

1105   "*Gazelle* (German warship). Maybe around 10 deaths, with graves made up and head-boards with suitable inscriptions placed at each one, unlike many of the later graves from English ships".

The newspaper report also describes the crew's living quarters as follows

1110   "Near the engines are the furnaces and boilers, which are ranged on either side of the vessel, with a narrow passage between, and close to these is the condensing apparatus. This deck is the home of the sailors the space forward of the engines being occupied in the centre of the vessel by racks for the men's " kits," which were stowed away in canvas bags. On either side were to be seen the utensils used by the men in taking their meals, and overhead were the hooks to which their hammocks are swung, and the portable tables, kept there when not in use".

1115

The paper by Hartmann (1995), gives an overall perspective on the voyage but sheds light on some of the issues raised above. In particular the reference to *"chopping down and collecting wood"* during the period spent surveying New Guinea. This tropical area had light winds and the *Gazelle* had by then exhausted its coal supplies. Thus, the crew, who were already in poor condition, had to collect timber

1120 and bring it onboard to fuel the furnaces for the ship's steam engine, and to do this in high temperatures and humid conditions. The area was mosquito infested and, although at that time these were not recognised as the vectors for malaria, many of the crew were affected.

Hartmann also sheds light on many aspects of the health of *Gazelle*'s crew. In the "roaring forties" a

1125 sailor fell from the rigging and was killed and there were, among the crew

---

[34] The Queenslander Saturday 23 October, 1875

"a large number of so-called mechanical injuries, which today we call bone fractures, ligament ruptures and dislocations"[35].

1130 During the period spent around New Guinea

"on average, 7% of the crew were "sick on the bunk. On some days more than 50 people were not able to work".

In part this was due to the prevalence of malaria despite the officers and crew receiving daily
1135 prophylactic quinine (3 x 0.5g) since before their first approach to the African coast. Quinine was however unpopular and an officer is quoted (Richter, 1910) as saying

"Like the crew for a long time, we now have to swallow a lot of quinine, by which everyone is affected a little, some being affected very badly, I feel ill from it. The quinine makes the night watches very hard to manage, as one can
1140 barely keep awake in the calm weather."

Hartmann also sheds light on the prevalence of scurvy, a disease that had at that time been eliminated from the Royal Navy. The diet of the *Gazelle*'s sailors was poor,

1145 "The meals on board consisted mainly of hard bread, the ship's biscuit, legumes like pearl barley and beans, once a week dried potatoes and salted meat, the so-called preserved meat, which was also issued once a week. This provision, which was stowed inside the ship, was called sea provisions. Fresh meat could only be served 7 times during the 6 months of travel or shortly after visiting a port.

1150 but was similar to that aboard *Challenger*. While around Kerguelen the diet was supplemented with Kerguelen cabbage which James Cook 100 years earlier had recognised as an antiscorbutic. Most significantly, the Imperial German Navy gave its crews citric acid rather than lemon or lime juice since it was cheaper and easier to store, but contained no vitamin C.

1155 Even in the relatively benign climate of the Pacific there were hazards. A diamond back snake was brought on board and bit Dr Huesker who:

"believed he was dying as a result. Thank God the fear was in vain"

1160 Thus, the two vessels fared very differently with regard to health due, in large part, to the extremely long and arduous periods spent by *Gazelle* around Kerguelen (over 100 days with average temperature of 7°C) and around New Guinea (almost 2 months with high humidity and temperature around 30°C[36]) and the poor diet and flawed prophylaxis.

---

[35] Quotations are from the author's translation of Hartmann's paper.
[36] Temperatures estimated from the meteorological records in Volume 5 of the Gazelle report.

1165 **8. The reports**

For both voyages, the process of analysis, interpretation and reporting was a long one. For *Challenger* it lasted from 1885 until 1895. The *Gazelle* reporting covered only 2 years but the first was not published until 13 years after the voyage's completion. Each was hindered by the deaths and illness of key

1170 personnel.

Rudolf Willemoes-Suhm died at sea. He had suffered from eruption of boils for much of *Challenger*'s voyage and died on September 13th 1875, a few days after the vessel left Hawaii. The cause of death was given as erysipelas, a bacterial infection, which now would be treated with antibiotics. A memorial

1175 plaque was erected in Izehoe, Schleswig Holstein, by his *Challenger* colleagues. It was later moved to Bad Segeberg (Kortum, 1996), perhaps by von Willemoes-Suhm's mother with whom he had corresponded throughout the voyage. (von Willemoes -Suhm, 1877).

On his return from the expedition Wyville Thomson had been elected a Fellow of the Royal Society and

1180 received the Society's Royal Medal. Its citation was "For his successful direction of the scientific investigations carried on by HMS *Challenger*". (Since the medal's inception in 1826, very few awards did not mention a particular area of science)[37] .

Further insights to Wyville Thompson's life as a scientist and to his character are in the obituary notice

1185 (Balfour, 1883) read to the Botanical Society of Edinburgh[38] on 13th April 1882. Following his return, it was noted that the voyage "*had not brought about increased vigour*" and indeed the planning of the analysis of the results of the expedition and the preparation of the reports must have been a considerable burden on him in addition to the lecturing about the voyage that he was called in to do. Balfour, a personal friend, records that in June 1879 he suffered a paralytic attack (perhaps a stroke) and a second

1190 one at the beginning of 1882. He died on March 10th of that year aged 52 and is buried at St Michael's Church, Linlithgow.

Buchanan's recollections of the *Challenger* Expedition are recorded in the chapter "A retrospect of Oceanography" in his book "Accounts Rendered of Works Done and Things Seen" , (Buchanan,1919).

1195

---

[37] https://royalsociety.org/grants-schemes-awards/awards/royal-medal/ Last access 6 June 2022.
[38] P278 of
https://www.google.co.uk/books/edition/Transactions_and_Proceedings_of_the_Bota/vpBMAAAAMAAJ?q=&gbpv=1#f=false Last access 6 June 2022.

Deacon (1971) proves an insightful summary of the political, personal, financial and scientific issues surrounding the disposition of the collected samples and the process of publication of the *Challenger* reports. Wyville Thomson, had stipulated before the voyage that the samples should become government property and he secured funding for 5 years to cover the cost and expenses of the staff

1200   concerned with storing the samples and writing the reports. This was opposed by the British Museum who, eventually, secured the terrestrial items while the marine material was retained in Edinburgh where a Challenger office had been established at 32 Queen Street. This office would serve as the focus for the publication process even though the *Challenger* scientists eventually returned to their former academic positions. The Challenger offices saw a steady stream of international scientists involved in

1205   the preparation of the reports. However, the publication process was slow and when the initial funding was coming to an end the Government (Treasury) gave no hope of the grant's renewal. The stress this caused to Wyville Thomson may well have contributed to his illness and sudden death. Eventually the Treasury relented, allocated a further 5 years of funding and John Murray became Director of the Challenger office. The publication process, the analysis of the results and samples from Challenger

1210   stimulated much scientific discussion and debate.

At the end of the publication process in 1895 John Murray designed and paid for the production of a Challenger medal[39] to be issued to people who had been involved in the expedition and in the publication process. 120 medals were struck and issued between 1895 and 1897. Murray was knighted

1215   in 1898. The medals were awarded to the ship's officers, scientists, and crew, to scientists involved in the publication and to politicians and others who had helped make the expedition possible.

---

[39] An online index of the medals can be found at
http://www.19thcenturyscience.org/HMSC/Chall-Medal/ChallengerMedal.html (accessed 14 Apr 2022).

1220

1225

1230

Figure 7: A complete set of Challenger reports (National Oceanographic Library, Southampton) and

1235   the Gazelle reports to scale. (Bibliothek,GEOMAR Helmholtz-Zentrum für Ozeanforschung, Kiel)

The *Challenger* reports finally ran to a total of 50 volumes[40] and were compiled by a large, international

group. For the present-day researcher, navigating through their many pages to find specific pieces of

information presents a considerable challenge.

1240

The publication of the reports of the *Gazelle* voyage followed a very different but no less difficult path.

Since *Gazelle* was a survey vessel, von Schleinitz submitted regular short reports via mail steamer

(particularly relating to surveys of harbours and coasts), and these were published in "Annalen der

Hydrographie und Maritmen Meteorologie", the journal of the German Hydrographic Office and

1245 Coastguard. However, the Introduction to volume 1 of the *Gazelle* reports shows that their publication

had not been planned.

        "   it was not at the time the intention to summarize and publish the results of the research in a special report; only
        later, a few years after the expedition, when the rich and valuable material collected on the voyage was assessed, did

1250   the need became clear to process it further and to compile it into a unified work".

---

[40] Online at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/6513 Last access 6 June 2022.

There is a clear recognition that this delay seriously hindered the process of report preparation not least in that the material and information collected by *Gazelle* had become scattered. However, in 1880 a memorandum was submitted to the Reichstag and funds were allocated and, partly through the auspices

1255 of the Academy of Sciences, a concerted effort to prepare the reports began.

> "The preparatory work for the publication was carried out up to the beginning of 1886 under the personal direction of the former commander of S.M.S. "*Gazelle*", Kontre-Admiral Freiherrn von Schleinitz. When he was taken from this activity by his appointment as governor of Kaiser Wilhelms-Land and the Bismarck Archipelago[41], the head of the
1260 Admiralty commissioned the Hydrographic Office with the publication of the work and under the same the Admiralty Council Captain Lieutenant D. ROTTOK with the publication work. This task was not an easy one, firstly because of illnesses of individual employees and also because various work had either not yet started or been completed, so the collection of the material intended for publication was made extremely difficult and impossible. In addition, the funds allocated for the work set rather narrow limits on the scope of the same. This resulted in a considerable reduction of
1265 some already completed parts and a few significant restrictions on others, and in some cases it was necessary to exclude individual sections from publication".

Though he had not previously been involved in the *Gazelle* voyage, Rottok was clearly a key person in the publication process which resulted in 5 volumes: Volume 1, The narrative: Volume 2, Physics and

1270 Chemistry: Volume 3, Zoology and Geology: Volume 4, Botany: Volume 5, Meteorology. (See Annex 2 for the full contents list). It had been intended that the meteorology would be included in volume 2 but

> "To classify the results of meteorological observations in this part, (2) as was originally planned, had to be given up
1275 on account of their great extent. It is intended, however, that the extensive material, which has been completed under the direction of the director of the Naval Observatory, if the means at hand allow it, to be subsequently published in a special fifth part.[42]

The difficulty in preparing the reports is illustrated by the following quotation.

1280

> "It caused great embarrassment when Dr. GOTTSCHE, who had studied the very extensive and valuable collection of liverworts, suddenly fell ill and could not finish his work. Eventually, Privat docent DR SCHIFFNER found himself ready in Prague to finish the work. The painstaking processing of the Diatomaceae, to which Director JANISCH had devoted himself tirelessly for years, came to a very regrettable end after a number of interesting and valuable tables of
1285 the Diatomaceae he had identified had come to a halt due to ongoing illness. It has not been completed and in order not to postpone the publication of the work any longer, has unfortunately been omitted from the publication."

What is perhaps revealing is the heavy emphasis in the *Gazelle* Narrative (Volume 1) on anthropology. Approximately half the illustrations and large parts of the text in that volume are devoted to the subject.

1290

---

[41] In 1884 the northeast part of New Guinea and nearby island groups became a German Protectorate, Kaiser-Wilhelmsland. von Schleinitz was appointed its first Governor (Landeshauptman) returning to Germany in 1888. https://adb.anu.edu.au/biography/schleinitz-georg-gustav-freiherr-von-4542 (access 15 April 2022)
[42] This was written in 1889 but part 5 was not published until 1890

The international nature of the preparation of the *Challenger* material, and the international connections of the *Challenger* scientists have already been mentioned and this international dimension continued with the reporting which involved scientists from 10 nations. These contributors are listed in Annex 3.

1295   By contrast, the *Gazelle* scientists and naval officers involved in writing the reports were, with the exception of Dr Studer who was Swiss, all German. From a modern-day perspective, it also seems remarkable that no French scientists were involved in the preparation execution and reporting of either of the voyages.

1300   **9. Postscript and conclusions**

So, how were the voyages regarded as they came to their conclusions? The end of Volume 2 of the Challenger narrative contains the following assessment

1305   " finally the crew was paid off at Chatham on the 6th of June 1876. Sir C. Wyville Thomson says:- "Writing now after the commission has come to a close, I think I am justified in saying that the object of the expedition had been fully and faithfully carried out. The instructions of the Lord commissioners of the Admiralty, founded upon the recommendation of a committee of the Royal Society, were followed so far as circumstances would permit. We always kept in view that to explore the conditions of the deep sea was the primary object of a mission, and throughout
1310   the voyage we took every possible opportunity of making a deep-sea observation. We dredged from time to time in shallow water in the most remote regions, and we have in this way acquired many undescribed animal forms; and collections of land animals and plants were likewise made on every available occasion; but I rather discourage such work, which in our case could only be done imperfectly, if it seemed likely to divert our attention from our special object"

1315

Joe Matkin (Rehbok, 1992) wrote his last letter from Chatham Dockyard on June 11th where Challenger's crew were paid off and the ship was to be decommissioned. It was to be the end of his Naval service. His letter provides a view from "below decks".

1320   ".. several of those who were entitled took their discharges from the Navy - myself among the number – finding Sea-life nought but vanity, and vexation of Spirit, especially the latter – my opinion of it coinciding with that of Dr Samuel Johnson 's AD 1776 - with which quotation I will conclude my long series of letters from HMS Challenger: A ship is worse than a jail. There is, in a jail, better air, better company, better conveniency of every kind: & a ship has the additional disadvantage of being in danger. When men come to like a sea-life they are not fit to live on land.
1325   Men go to sea, before they know the unhappiness of the way of life; & when they have come to know it, they cannot escape from it, because it is then too late to choose another profession; as indeed is generally the case with men when they have once engaged in any particular way of life. Hoping to see you tomorrow, Believe me, Sincerely your Joe Matkin"

1330   Rehbok comments that Matkin's letters hint at strained relationships between the crew and the officers and at the particular challenges the crew faced due to long periods spent in inhospitable climates.

The conclusion of the *Gazelle* narrative includes no summary, no assessment of achievements nor any

1335   comment on the end of the voyage. It merely ends with the words

“On the 28th in the morning at 6 1/2 o'clock the Bülk lighthouse came into sight as the first outpost of the home port. At 8 o'clock we passed it and an hour later the lighthouse of Friedrichsort was passed and we entered the port of Kiel, where the "Gazelle" tied up at the buoy at 9 3/4 o'clock. After the inspection of the ship by the Chief of the

1340   Admiralty, which took place on the following days, disarmament proceeded and on May 12 at 2 p.m. with the usual ceremony and with a toast to His Majesty the Emperor the "Gazelle" was decommissioned.

Perhaps a more insightful, but unofficial, view of the Gazelle voyage is in the diary of Gazelle's purser

quoted by Hartmann (1995),

1345

“Gott lob, diese schwere und mühevolle Reise liegt nun hinter uns”

"Thank God, this difficult and arduous journey is now behind us"[43]

On their return, both vessels were nearly 20 years old but were reaching the end of their useful lives.

1350   *Challenger* had a refit in 1878 to convert her to a training ship but was not used for that purpose and

was put in reserve until 1883. She then became a hulk on the river Medway and was scrapped in 1921.

Gazelle continued in naval service until 1884 later becoming a barrack ship in Wilhelmshaven and

being broken up in 1906. (Gröner, 1990). All that remains of the ships is *Challenger*'s figurehead

standing guard at the entrance to National Oceanography Centre in Southampton.

1355

From a 21st century perspective the data and preserved samples from these 19th century global voyages

provide an important baseline against which the modern ocean, affected by anthropogenic climate

change, may be compared (e.g. Roemmich, Gould and Gilson, 2012, Fox et al., 2020, Gould and

Cunningham, 2021) and help us to address such issues as ocean heat storage, acidification and its effect

1360   on marine organisms and the acceleration of the global hydrological cycle. So, their value has lasted

150 years.

It cannot be denied that the *Gazelle* voyage became almost invisible in the shadow of *Challenger* and

the roots of that invisibility can probably be traced to the fact that, although the quality and scope of the

1365   observations were similar, the undoubted traumatic nature of the *Gazelle* voyage was perhaps a factor in

the delayed publication of the report. Momentum was lost. An additional factor may be found in the

---

[43] Bruno Buchwald: *Die Forschungsreise S.M.S. „Gazelle" 1874 bis 1876. Tagebuchnotizen des Oberbotteliers Rudolph Buchwald.* Hamburg, Berlin 1999, S. 11. Held by Marineschule Mürwik, Germany

underlying motivations for the *Gazelle* voyage which are hinted at in the First volume of the report and can be summarised and roughly ranked as:-

1370
- Improving the technical capabilities of the newly-formed Imperial German Navy
- "Showing the flag" for that Navy
- Transporting the "Transit on Venus" astronomers
- Anthropological and colonial exploration
- Adding to our knowledge of the deep oceans and shelf seas.

1375

Perhaps none of these was seen a giving a strong motivation for celebrating the voyage's achievements. One might even speculate that had it not been for the transit of Venus and the colonial aspirations there would have no good reason for a circumnavigation by *Gazelle*.

1380 Anniversaries provide catalysts for celebrations and re-assessments. 100 years after *Challenger* sailed, Eric Linklater's book "The Voyage of the Challenger", (Linklater, 1972), brought the voyage back to the attention of the general public. A *Challenger* centenary medal was struck and, at a celebratory dinner, Madeira wine that had been carried around the North Atlantic aboard *Challenger* was drunk. (Rutherford, 1972, Mayson, 2015).

1385

We have already commented that the fact that the *Challenger* reports were compiled by an international team (Annex 3) and may have contributed to *Challenger*'s visibility. Kortun (1996) also speculates that had von Willemoes-Suhm survived he might have played a critical role in building scientific bridges between Britain and Germany based on the *Challenger* and *Gazelle* voyages. This might have
1390 increased the visibility of the *Gazelle* voyage.

We now approach the 150th anniversary and, while there will be many retrospective assessments, a fitting tribute to all those involved in the two expeditions would be the further use of their measurements and samples to better understand the oceans' role in earth's climate.

1395

Data availability

No data sets were used in the production of this paper.

Competing interests

1400 The author has declared that there are no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

The origins of this paper can be traced back to 2018 with the analysis (with Stuart Cunningham) of the

1405   salinity observations from *Challenger* and *Gazelle*. This was the first time that data from these voyages

had been combined. It was then that I became intrigued by the voyage of the *Gazelle* and its invisibility.

I wish to thank German friends and colleagues Gerold Siedler, Walter Zenk, Klaus-Peter Koltermann

and also Gwyn Griffiths and Tony Rice for their encouraging and constructive comments on an early

1410   draft of this manuscript. The support of Emma Guest and other staff of the UK National Oceanographic

Library is also gratefully noted.

References

A. M. F.: Obituary: Captain Thomas Henry Tizard, C.B., F.R.S., R.N., Geogr. J., 63(5), 460–462, 1924.

1415   http://www.jstor.org/stable/1781248 Last access 6 June 2022.

Anonymous: The Great Circumnavigating Exploring Expedition., Nature, 6, 529–530,
    https://doi.org/10.1038/006529a0, 1872.

Anonymous: Scientific Worthies. Nature 14**,** 85–87,1876.

Agassiz, G.R.: Sir John Murray., Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci., 52, 853-859, 1917.

1420   https://www.jstor.org/stable/20025726?seq=1 Last access 6 June 2022.

Aitken, F. and Foulc, J-N.: From Deep Sea to Laboratory 1: The First Explorations of the Deep Sea by
    HMS Challenger (1872-1876). James Wiley, ISBN 978-1-78630-374-5, 2019.

Balfour, J.H.: Obituary Notice of Sir C Wyville Thomson. Trans. & Proc. Bot. Soc., 16, 278-281,1883.

Bell, K.: British Policy Towards the Construction of the Suez Canal, 1859–65, Trans. R. Hist. Soc., 15,

1425   121-143,1965.

Bourne, G.C.: From Moseley's Notes by a Naturalist, second edition, 1892.
    https://web.prm.ox.ac.uk/sma/index.php/primary-documents/primary-documents-index/391-
    moseley-memoir.html Last access 6 June 2022.

Brunton, E.V.: The Challenger Expedition, 1872-1876: A Visual Index. (Second Edition), The Natural

1430   History Museum, London. 242pp. ISBN 0 565 0 91913 ,1994.
    https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/164698#page/2/mode/1up Last access 6 June
    2022.

Buchanan, J.Y.: Accounts rendered of Works Done and things Seen, Cambridge University Press,
    435pp, 1919.

1435   https://ia800209.us.archive.org/7/items/accountsrendered00buchuoft/accountsrendered00bu
    chuoft.pdf Last access 6 June 2022.

Carpenter, W. B.: On the Gibraltar Current, the Gulf Stream, and the General Oceanic Circulation.,
    Proc. R. Geogr. Soc., *15*(1), 54–91, https://doi.org/10.2307/1799637 , 1870.

Cartwright, D.E.: Tides, a Scientific History., Cambridge University Press. 292pp., ISBN 0-521-62145-

1440   3, 1999.

Darwin, C.: On the origin of species by means of natural selection, or, the preservation of favoured
    races in the struggle for life. London: J. Murray., 1859.

Davoust, E.: Le voyage de l'expédition allemande pour observer le passage de Vénus du 8 Décembre
    1874 aux îles Kerguelen, et son séjour en ces lieux., Pulsar, 733, 8-12, 1999. An English

1445   translation is available at https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/2011/2011.03402.pdf Last
    access 6 June 2022

Dawson, L.S.: Memoirs of Hydrography, including brief biographies of the principal officers who have served in HM Naval Surveying Service between the years 1750 and 1885. Part 2. 1830-1885. Eastbourne: Henry W. Keay, 209pp, 1885,

1450   https://archive.org/details/memoirshydrogra00dawsgoog/page/n6/mode/2up Last access 6 June 2022.

Deacon, M.B.: Scientists and the Sea 1650-1900 a study of marine science., Academic Press, London, 445pp. ISBN 0-12-207850-0 , 1971.

Duerbeck, H.W.: The German transit of Venus expeditions of 1874 and 1882: organization, methods,

1455   stations, results., J. Astron. Hist. Herit. 7, 8-17, ISSN 1440-2807, 2004.

Durmont-d'Urville, J.S.C.: Voyage au Pôle Sud et dans l'Océanie, sur les corvettes "l'Astrolabe" et "la Zélée"., Paris, Gide éditeur, tome 8, p. 136-181,1842-6.

Fox, L., Stukins, S., Hill, T. et al.: Quantifying the Effect of Anthropogenic Climate Change on Calcifying Plankton., Sci. Rep., 10, 1620 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58501-w,

1460   2020.

Gould, W.J., Cunningham, S.A.: Global-scale patterns of observed sea surface salinity intensified since the 1870s., Commun Earth Environ, 2, 76, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00161-3 Last access 6 June 2022

1465 Gröner, Erich: German Warships: 1815–1945. Vol. I: Major Surface Vessels. Annapolis, MD: Naval Institute Press. ISBN 0-87021-790-9.,1990.

Güssfeldt, P., J.A.F. Falkenstein, E. Pechuel-Loesche, E.W. Baldamus, 1888. Die Loango-Expedition ausgesandt von der Deutschen Gesellschaft zur Erforschung Aequatorial-Africas, 1873-1876.,1888. https://library.si.edu/digital-library/book/dieloangoexpedi00gssf Last access 6

1470   June 2022

Harley, Charles K.: [first pub. 1971]. "The Shift from Sailing Ships to Steamships, 1850-1890: A Study in Technological Change and its Diffusion". In McCloskey, Deirdre (ed.). Essays on a Mature Economy: Papers and Proceedings of the MSSB Conference on the New Economic History of Britain 1840–1930 (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge. pp. 215–234, *ISBN* *978-1-136-58671-*

1475   *2*, 2010.

Hartmann, V.: Medizinische Besonderheiten während der Forschungsreise der Gedeckten Korvette S. M. S. Gazelle um die Welt in den Jahren 1874–1876. In: Würzburger medizinhistorische Mitteilungen. Band 13, 371–390, 1995.

Hollyday, F.B.M.: Bismarck's Rival: A Political Biography of General and Admiral Albrecht von

1480   Stosch. Normanby Press, 305pp. ISBN 9781787203839, 2017.

Horsburgh, J.: Catalogue of the photographic Negatives taken during the Challenger Expedition 1872-1876. HMSO Edinburgh, 12pp., 1885.

Hydrographisches Amt der Admiraltät.: Die Forschungsreise, S.M.S. "Gazelle" in den Jahren 1874 bis 1876: unter Kommando des Kapitän See Freiherrn von Schleinitz, Teil 1. Der Reisebericht.

1485   (E. S. Mittler und Sohn, 1888.

Teil 2 Physik und Chemie, 1888.

Teil 3 Zoologie und Geologie, 1889.

Teil 4 Botanik,1889.

Teil 5 Meteorologie,1890

1490 Jones, E.L.: Making the Ocean Visible: Science and Mobility on the Challenger Expedition, 1872-1895. PhD Thesis, University College, London. 320pp., 2019. https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10087545/13/Erika%20Jones_Making%20the%20Ocean%20Visible_2019_edited.pdf Last access 6 June 2022.

Kortum, G.: The German Challenger of Neptune., The History of Oceanography Newsletter. No 8,

1495   1996. http://ijnhonline.org/volume3_number2-3_AugDec04/ocgr%20pdf%20archive/HISTOC08.pdf Last access 6 June 2022.

Linklater, E.: The voyage of the Challenger. J. Murray, 288pp., 1972.

Lyell, C.: Principles of Geology. John Murray, London. Various editions and paginations., 1830-68.

Maury, M.F.: The Physical Geography of the Sea., Sampson Low, Son and Co, London., 273pp and

1500   plates, 1855.

Moseley, H.N.: Notes by a Naturalist. An account of observations made during the voyage of HMS Challenger, round the world in the years 1872-1876., John Murray, London, 540 pp., 1892. https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/14281 Last access 6 June 2022.

Mayson, R.: Madeira: The islands and their wines., Infinite Ideas Limited, Oxford, UK, 282pp. ISBN

1505   978-1-909652-91-0, 2015.

Ohff, Hans-Jurgen: Empires of enterprise: German and English commercial interests in East New Guinea 1884 to 1914., PhD Thesis, University of Adelaide, 449pp and Annexes., DOI 10.4225/55/59e9603ddb7e42008, 2008.

Ohff, H.-J.: Disastrous Ventures: German and British Enterprises in East New Guinea up to 1914.,

1510   Plenum Publishing. ISBN 10: 0994304536, 2015.

Overlack, Peter: German New Guinea: a Diplomatic, Economic and Political Survey, pp128-152 in J. R. Hist. Soc. Qld., 9,128-152, 1973.

Rehbock, P. H. (ed): At Sea with the Scientifics – The Challenger letters of Joseph Matkin., University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu, 415pp., SBN-13: 9780824814243, 1992.

1515   Rennell, J.: The Currents of the Atlantic Ocean and those which Prevail between the Indian and Atlantic
            Oceans, JG and F Rivington, London. 359pp and charts., 1832.

        Rice, A.L.: British Oceanographic Vessels 1800-1950. The Ray Society Series No. 157. London: The
            Ray Society., 193 pp, ISBN 0-903874-19-9, 1986.

        Rice, A.L.: The Challenger Expedition/ the end of an era or a new beginning? Chapter 1 in
1520   Understanding the Oceans. Margaret Deacon, Tony Rice and Colin Summerhayes, Eds.,
            UCL Press, London, 300pp. ISBN 1-85728-706-1, 2001.

        Richardson, P.L.: Benjamin Franklin and Timothy Folger's First Printed Chart of the Gulf Stream.
            Science, 207, 643-645, 1980.

        Richter, J.W.O.: Die Forschungsreise Sr. Maj. Korvette „Gazelle" Nach amtlichen Berichten, sowie
1525   Briefen, Tagebuchblattern und Aufsatzen der Teilnehmer, Altenburg., Deutsche
            Seebücherei, XXIV, 1910.

        Ritchie, S.: A brief History of 19th Century Deep Sea Sounding., Int. Hydrogr. Rev. (New Series), 1, 6-
            14, 2000. https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/ihr/article/download/20479/23642/ Last
            access 6 June 2022,

1530   Roemmich, D., Gould, W. John., and Gilson, J.: 135 years of global ocean warming between the
            Challenger expedition and the Argo Programme. Nature Clim Change, 2, 425–428,
            https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1461, 2012.

        Rutherford, W.H. (Ed.): Second International Congress on the History of Oceanography. Challenger
            Expedition Centenary, Edinburgh, September 12 to 20, 1972. Proceedings 1. Proc. R. Soc.
1535   Edinb .B, Biological Sciences, 72. Royal Society of Edinburgh: Edinburgh. viii, 462 pp.,
            1972.

        Scherzer, K,: Reise Der Österreichischen Fregatte Novara Um Die Erde, in Den Jahren 1857, 1858,
            1859., Wien, C. Gerold's Sohn, 1864 -1866, 1861-3.
            https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/9173 Last access June 6 2022

1540   Sennett R and Oram, H.J.: The Marine Steam Engine.   Longmans Green and Co., London.,
            1899..Extracts online at https://www.naval-history.net/WW0Book-Sennett-
            MarineSteamEngine.htm#1 Last access 6 June 2022

        Siemens, C.W.: On a Deep Sea Electrical Thermometer., Proc. R. Soc.London, 34, pp. 89-95, 1882.

        Smith, E.J.: 'Cleanse or Die': British Naval Hygiene in the Age of Steam, 1840–1900 Med Hist. 2018
1545   Apr; 62(2): 177–198. doi: 10.1017/mdh.2018.3   Elise Juzda Smith [*],2018.

        Smith, E.J.: Raising Boys for the Navy: Health, Welfare, and the British Sea Services, 1870-1905. J.
            Hist. Med. Allied. Sci., 76, pp53–77. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhmas/jraa054 2021. Last
            access 14 June 2022.

Sperberg, U.: Eduard Heis, an early pioneer in meteor research., Hist. Geo Space Sci., 12, 163–170.

1550   https://doi.org/10.5194/hgss-12-163-2021, 2021.

Spry, W.J.J.: The Cruise of Her Majesty's Ship "Challenger". Voyages over many seas, scenes in many
        lands., Sampson Low, Marston, Searle, & Rivington, London., 1876.
        https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/112294 Last access 6 June 2022.

Theberge, A.E.: George Belknap and the Thomson Sounding Machine., Hydro International Magazine,

1555   2014. https://www.hydro-international.com/content/article/george-belknap-and-the-
        thomson-sounding-machine   Last access 6 June 2022.

Thurston, R.H.: Obituary notice, Charles William Siemens., Proc. R. Soc, London. 37(49), 1884
        https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10.1098/rspl.1884.0002 Last access 6 June 2022.

Tizard, T.H.: Contribution to the Meteorology of Japan. Meteorological Committee, Her Majesty's

1560   Stationery Office, London, 24pp., 1876.

Tizard, T.H., Moseley, H.N., Buchanan, J.Y. and Murray, J.: Narrative of the cruise of HMS Challenger
        with a general account of the scientific results of the expedition. Report on the Scientific
        Results of the Voyage of HMS Challenger During the Years 1873–76 Under the Command
        of Captain George S. Nares, R.N., F.R.S. and the Late Captain Frank Tourle Thomson, R.N.

1565   Wyville Thomson, C. and J. Murray (series eds.) Vol. 1. Edinburgh: Neill and Company. Pp.
        1–1172., 1885.

Tizard, T.H., Moseley, H.N., Buchanan, J.Y. and Murray, J.: Report of the Scientific Results of the
        voyage of HMS Challenger During the Years 1873–76 Under the Command of Captain
        George S. Nares, R.N., F.R.S. and the Late Captain Frank Tourle Thomson, R.N. Wyville

1570   Thomson, C. and J. Murray (series eds.) Vol. 1 Part 1. Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
        1110 pp. ,1885.
        http://www.19thcenturyscience.org/HMSC/HMSC-Reports/1885-Narrative/htm/doc.html
        Last access 6 June 2022.

Tucker, M.J.: A wave-recorder for use in ships., Nature, 170, 657-659, 1952.

1575 von Tirpitz, A.: My Memoirs. Dodd, Mead and Co , New York. Not so Noble Books. Kindle Edition.,
        1919.

von Willemoes-Suhm, R.: Challenger-Briefe, 1872-1875. Mit einem Vorwort von Professor Kupffer,
        der Photographie des Verstorbenen und einer Darstellung seines Grab-Monumentes. Verlag
        von Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig., 180pp., 1877.

1580   https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/1777 Last access 6 June 2022.

Wild, J.J.: At anchor: a narrative of experiences afloat and ashore during the voyage of HMS Challenger,
        from 1872 to 1876. M. Ward and Co. London; Belfast. 198pp., 1878.

Winfield, Rif.: British Warships in the Age of Sail 1817–1863: design, construction, careers and fates Seaforth Publishing, 414 pp. ISBN 978-1848321694, 2014.

1585 Wyville Thomson, C.: The Depths of the Sea, MacMillan and Co London. 523pp., 1873.

Wyville Thomson, C.: The voyage of the "*Challenger*": the Atlantic: a preliminary account of the general results of the exploring voyage of HMS "*Challenger*" during the year 1873 and the early part of the year 1876 Harper and Bros, New York. 2 vols. 391 and 340pp., 1878.

Zimmermann, A.: Anthropology and Antihumanism in Imperial Germany. University of Chicago Press.

1590 364pp. ISBN 0-226-98341-2, 2001.

Annex 1   Port calls and survey areas

Atlantic outbound					
Challenger			**Gazelle**		
Port	**Dates**	**Days**	**Port**	**Dates**	**Days**
Portsmouth Sailed	21 Dec 1872		Kiel Sailed	21 Jun 1874	
Lisbon	3-12 Jan 1873	9	Plymouth	28 Jun – 3 Jul 1874	5
Gibraltar	18-26 Jan 1873	8	Madeira	15-16 Jul 1874	1
Madeira	2-5 Feb 1873	3	Cape Verde	27-30 Jul 1874	3
Teneriffe	7 - 10 Feb1873 / 13-14 Feb1873	3 / 1	Monrovia	4-7 Aug 1874	3
St Thomas W.I.	16-24 Mar 1873	8	Ascension Is	18-19 Aug 1874	1
Bermuda	4-21 Apr1873	14	Kongo	2-5 Sept 1874	3
Halifax	9-19 May1873	10	Cape Town, South Africa	26 Sep -3 Oct 1874	7
Bermuda	31 May 13 Jun 1873	13			
Azores, Horta	1-2 Jul1873	1			
Azores, Ponta Delgada	4-9 Jul1873	5			
Madeira	16 - 17 Jul 1873	1			
Cape Verde	28 Jul 9 Aug1873	12			
St Paul Rocks	28 – 29 Aug 1873	1			
Fernando Noronha	1-3 Sep 1873	2			
Bahia	15-25 Sep 1873	10			
Tristan da Cunha	15-18 Oct 1873	3			
Simonstown, South Africa	28 Oct -17 Dec 1873	50			

Indian/Southern Oceans					
Port	**Dates**	**Days**	**Port**	**Dates**	**Days**
Leave Simonstown	17 Dec 1873		Leave CapeTown	3 Oct 1874	
Prince Edward/ Marion Is	26-27 Dec 1873	1	Crozet	18/-9 Oct 1874	1
Crozet	31 Dec 1873- 3 Jan 1874	3	Kerguelen	26 Oct 1874 5 Feb 1875	103
Kerguelen	7 Jan 1 Feb 1874	15	Mauritius	26 Feb – 15 Mar 1875	18
McDonald Is Heard Is	6- 27 Feb 1874	21	Mermaid Str. Australia	27 Apr 1875	1
Melbourne	17 Mar - 1 Apr 1874	15			

1595

Pacific					
Port	**Dates**	**Days**	**Port**	**Dates**	**Days**
Leave Melbourne	1 Apr 1874		Leave Mermaid Strait	27 Apr 1875	
Sydney	6 Apr - 8 Jun 1874	63	Koepang	14-26 May 1875	13
Wellington	28 Jun - 6 Jul 1874	8	Atapopa	27-28 May 1875	2
Kermadec	13 -17Jul 1874	4	Amboina	2-11 Jun 1875	10

Tonga	19-22 Jul 1874	3	New Guinea Bismarck Archipelago	15 Jun – 11 Aug 1875	56
Fiji	24Jul – 11 Aug 1874	18	Solomon Is	24-29 Aug 1875	5
New Hebrides	17-19 Aug 1874	2	Brisbane Aus	26 sep-20 Oct 1875	24
Raine Is	31 Aug 1874	1	Auckland NZ	29 oct 11Nov 1875	13
Cape York	1-8 Sept 1874	7	Fiji	23 Nov 1875	
Aru Is	14 - 23 Sept 1874	9	Tonga	8- 20 Dec 1875	12
Ki Is	24-26 Sept 1874	12	Samoa	24 28 Dec 1875	4
Banda Is	29 Sept 2 Oct 1874	3	Magellan Strait	1 Feb 1876	
Amboina	4 -10 Oct 1874	6			
Ternate Moluccas	15-17 Oct 1874	2			
Samboangan Philippines	23-26 Oct 1874	3			
Ilo Ilo	28-31 Oct 1874	3			
Manila	4-11 Nov 1874	7			
Hong Kong	16 Nov 1874 - 6 Jan 1875	51			
Manila	11-15 Jan 1875	4			
Zebu	18-24 Jan 1875	6			
Camiguin Is	26 Jan 1875	1			
Samoangan	29 Jan-5 Feb 1875	7			
Humboldt Bay NG	22 -24 Feb 1875	2			
Admiralty Is	3-10 Mar 1875	7			
Japan	11 Apr -16 Jun 1875	66			
Sandwich Is Hawaii	27 Jul - 19 Aug 1875	23			
Society Is Tahiti	18 Sept -3 Oct 1875	15			
Juan Fernandez	13-15 Nov 1875	2			
Valparaiso	19 Nov-11 Dec 1875	22			
Magellan Strait	31 Dec 1875 -20 Jan 1876	21			

Atlantic Homeward					
Challenger			**Gazelle**		
Port	**Dates**	**Days**	**Port**	**Dates**	**Days**
Leave Magellan Str	20 Jan 1876		Leave Magellan Str	1 Feb 1876	Days
Falkland Is	23 Jan -Feb 6	14	Punta Arenas	6 - 8 Feb 1876	2
Montevideo	16- 25 Feb	9	Montevideo	16-19 Feb 1876	3
Ascension Is	27 Mar – 3 Apr	7	Azores	10-12 Apr 1876	2
Cape Verde	17-26 Apr	9	Plymouth	15-20 Apr 1876	5
Vigo	2 May	1	Kiel	28 Apr 1876	
Spithead	24 May	1			

Annex 2 Translation of the Contents list of Gazelle Reports
1600 **Volume 1.**
Travelogue

---------ooooOOOOoooo--------

1625 **Volume 2**
Physics and Chemistry

---------ooooOOOOoooo--------

Volume 3
1665   **Zoology and Geology**

Foreword

1745

---------ooooOOOOoooo--------

Volume 4

1750   Foreword

     1. Summary of the botanical results processed by Prof Dr A. ENGLER
     2. Algae processed by Prof. Dr.E. ASKENASZY. With 12 figures.

Volume 5

METEOROLOGY

Annex 3
1780 **International contributors to the Challenger expedition reports**
(Nationalities are those of the institutions in which the contributors worked at the time)

The reports are available online at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/6513

1785 Contributions are to the zoology reports unless otherwise stated

Austria	Ludwig **von Graff**
Belgium	Paul **Pelseneer**, Rev Alphonse Francois **Renard** (*Sediments*),
Denmark	Rudolph **Bergh**
1790 Germany	Albert **Günther** (British- born Germany), Ernst **Haeckel**, Richard Hertwig, Franz Eilhard **Schulze**, Emil **Selenka**, Theophil **Studer**, Otto von **Linstow**, Albert **von Kölliker** (born Switzerland)
Ireland	Daniel John **Cunningham**, Alfred Cort **Haddon**, William Johnson **Sollas**
Italy	Francesco Castracane **degli Antelminelli** (*Botany Diatomaceæ*)
1795 Netherlands	Paulius Peronius Cato **Hoek**, Ambrosius Arnold Willem **Hubrecht**
Norway	Georg Ossian **Sars**
Russia	Nikolai Nikolaevich **Poléjaeff**
Sweden	Hjalmar **Théel**
USA	Alexander **Agassiz**, W.K. **Brooks**, Theodore **Lyman III**